

# Packing Set Partitions

Adam M. Goyt  
Minnesota State University Moorhead  
goytadam@mnstate.edu

October 2, 2009

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Results for the Restricted Definition
- 3 Results for the Non-Restricted Definition
- 4 Open Problems

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Results for the Restricted Definition
- 3 Results for the Non-Restricted Definition
- 4 Open Problems

# History

- Pattern avoidance is given its first systematic study by Simion and Schmidt.

# History

- Pattern avoidance is given its first systematic study by Simion and Schmidt.
- Wilf suggests to consider packing instead of avoidance for permutations.

# History

- Pattern avoidance is given its first systematic study by Simion and Schmidt.
- Wilf suggests to consider packing instead of avoidance for permutations.
- Layered pattern density is determined for particular layered permutations. Work of Price [3] and Albert, Atkinson, Handley, Holton, and Stromquist [1].

# History

- Pattern avoidance is given its first systematic study by Simion and Schmidt.
- Wilf suggests to consider packing instead of avoidance for permutations.
- Layered pattern density is determined for particular layered permutations. Work of Price [3] and Albert, Atkinson, Handley, Holton, and Stromquist [1].
- Burstein, Hästö, and Mansour [2] determine packing densities for words.

# History

- Pattern avoidance is given its first systematic study by Simion and Schmidt.
- Wilf suggests to consider packing instead of avoidance for permutations.
- Layered pattern density is determined for particular layered permutations. Work of Price [3] and Albert, Atkinson, Handley, Holton, and Stromquist [1].
- Burstein, Hästö, and Mansour [2] determine packing densities for words.
- Three years ago I am introduced to packing and am encouraged to consider packing for set partitions.



# Set Partition Definition

## Definition

A *partition*  $\pi$  of a set  $S$ , written  $\pi \vdash S$ , is a family of disjoint nonempty subsets  $B_i \subseteq S$ , called *blocks*, such that  $\uplus B_i = S$ .

# Set Partition Definition

## Definition

A *partition*  $\pi$  of a set  $S$ , written  $\pi \vdash S$ , is a family of disjoint nonempty subsets  $B_i \subseteq S$ , called *blocks*, such that  $\uplus B_i = S$ .

We write

$$\pi = B_1/B_2/\dots/B_k,$$

where

$$\min B_1 < \min B_2 < \dots < \min B_k,$$

# Set Partition Definition

## Definition

A *partition*  $\pi$  of a set  $S$ , written  $\pi \vdash S$ , is a family of disjoint nonempty subsets  $B_i \subseteq S$ , called *blocks*, such that  $\uplus B_i = S$ .

We write

$$\pi = B_1/B_2/\dots/B_k,$$

where

$$\min B_1 < \min B_2 < \dots < \min B_k,$$

Let

$$\Pi_n = \{\pi : \pi \vdash [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}, \text{ and } \Pi = \bigcup_n \Pi_n.$$

# Set Partition Definition

## Definition

A *partition*  $\pi$  of a set  $S$ , written  $\pi \vdash S$ , is a family of disjoint nonempty subsets  $B_i \subseteq S$ , called *blocks*, such that  $\uplus B_i = S$ .

We write

$$\pi = B_1/B_2/\dots/B_k,$$

where

$$\min B_1 < \min B_2 < \dots < \min B_k,$$

Let

$$\Pi_n = \{\pi : \pi \vdash [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}, \text{ and } \Pi = \bigcup_n \Pi_n.$$

## Example

$$137/25/46 \vdash [7]$$

# Canonical Words

To each set partition is associated a canonical word  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  where  $a_i = j$  if  $i \in B_j$ .

# Canonical Words

To each set partition is associated a canonical word  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  where  $a_i = j$  if  $i \in B_j$ .

## Example

$137/25/46$  corresponds to 1213231.

# Saintlyhood

## Definition

*Given any word  $w \in [k]^n$  we may **canonize**  $w$  by replacing all occurrences of the first letter of  $w$  by 1, all occurrences of the next occurring letter by 2, etc.*

# Saintlyhood

## Definition

Given any word  $w \in [k]^n$  we may *canonize*  $w$  by replacing all occurrences of the first letter of  $w$  by 1, all occurrences of the next occurring letter by 2, etc.

## Example

The canonized form of 47411477 is 12133122.



# Saintlyhood

## Definition

Given any word  $w \in [k]^n$  we may *canonize*  $w$  by replacing all occurrences of the first letter of  $w$  by 1, all occurrences of the next occurring letter by 2, etc.

## Example

The canonized form of 47411477 is 12133122.

There is a bijection between all canonized words of length  $n$  and partitions of  $[n]$ .

# Saintlyhood

## Definition

Given any word  $w \in [k]^n$  we may *canonize*  $w$  by replacing all occurrences of the first letter of  $w$  by 1, all occurrences of the next occurring letter by 2, etc.

## Example

The canonized form of 47411477 is 12133122.

There is a bijection between all canonized words of length  $n$  and partitions of  $[n]$ .

We will say that a partition,  $\pi$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\ell(\pi) = n$ , if its associated canonical word has  $n$  letters.

# Saintlyhood

## Definition

Given any word  $w \in [k]^n$  we may *canonize*  $w$  by replacing all occurrences of the first letter of  $w$  by 1, all occurrences of the next occurring letter by 2, etc.

## Example

The canonized form of 47411477 is 12133122.

There is a bijection between all canonized words of length  $n$  and partitions of  $[n]$ .

We will say that a partition,  $\pi$  is of length  $n$ ,  $\ell(\pi) = n$ , if its associated canonical word has  $n$  letters.

From now on we will refer to these canonical words as partitions.

# Order Isomorphism

## Definition

We say that two words  $\alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$  and  $\beta = \beta_1\beta_2 \dots \beta_n$  are *order isomorphic* if for  $i < j$

# Order Isomorphism

## Definition

We say that two words  $\alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$  and  $\beta = \beta_1\beta_2 \dots \beta_n$  are *order isomorphic* if for  $i < j$

- $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$  if and only if  $\beta_i = \beta_j$  and

# Order Isomorphism

## Definition

We say that two words  $\alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$  and  $\beta = \beta_1\beta_2 \dots \beta_n$  are *order isomorphic* if for  $i < j$

- $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$  if and only if  $\beta_i = \beta_j$  and
- $\alpha_i < \alpha_j$  if and only if  $\beta_i < \beta_j$ .

# Order Isomorphism

## Definition

We say that two words  $\alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n$  and  $\beta = \beta_1\beta_2\dots\beta_n$  are *order isomorphic* if for  $i < j$

- $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$  if and only if  $\beta_i = \beta_j$  and
- $\alpha_i < \alpha_j$  if and only if  $\beta_i < \beta_j$ .

## Example

The words 12332 and 34774 are order isomorphic.

# Order Isomorphism

## Definition

We say that two words  $\alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n$  and  $\beta = \beta_1\beta_2\dots\beta_n$  are *order isomorphic* if for  $i < j$

- $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$  if and only if  $\beta_i = \beta_j$  and
- $\alpha_i < \alpha_j$  if and only if  $\beta_i < \beta_j$ .

## Example

The words 12332 and 34774 are order isomorphic.

## Example

The words 12332 and 51771 are not order isomorphic.



# Pattern Containment

## Definition

*Let  $\sigma$  be a partition with  $\ell(\sigma) = n$  and  $\pi$  be a partition with  $\ell(\pi) = k$ . We say that  $\sigma$  contains  $\pi$  if*

# Pattern Containment

## Definition

Let  $\sigma$  be a partition with  $\ell(\sigma) = n$  and  $\pi$  be a partition with  $\ell(\pi) = k$ . We say that  $\sigma$  contains  $\pi$  if

- 1 **Non-Restricted:**  
*there is a subsequence of  $\sigma$  of length  $k$  whose canonization is  $\pi$ .*

# Pattern Containment

## Definition

Let  $\sigma$  be a partition with  $\ell(\sigma) = n$  and  $\pi$  be a partition with  $\ell(\pi) = k$ . We say that  $\sigma$  contains  $\pi$  if

- 1 **Non-Restricted:**  
*there is a subsequence of  $\sigma$  of length  $k$  whose canonization is  $\pi$ .*
- 2 **Restricted:**  
*there is a subsequence of  $\sigma$  of length  $k$  that is order isomorphic to  $\pi$ .*

# Examples of Containment

## Example

Let  $\sigma = 1213231$  and  $\pi = 121$ .

# Examples of Containment

## Example

Let  $\sigma = 1213231$  and  $\pi = 121$ .

Then 212, as in 1**2**1**3**2**3**1 is a copy of 121 in the non-restricted sense, but not in the restricted sense.

# Examples of Containment

## Example

Let  $\sigma = 1213231$  and  $\pi = 121$ .

Then 212, as in 1**213231** is a copy of 121 in the non-restricted sense, but not in the restricted sense.

And 131, as in 12132**31**, is a copy of 121 in both the restricted and non-restricted sense.

# Examples of Containment

## Example

Let  $\sigma = 1213231$  and  $\pi = 121$ .

Then 212, as in 1**213231** is a copy of 121 in the non-restricted sense, but not in the restricted sense.

And 131, as in 1**213231**, is a copy of 121 in both the restricted and non-restricted sense.

The non-restricted pattern containment definition allows for the blocks of the copy to be in a different order than the blocks in the pattern. The restricted definition does not.

# Packing Definitions

## Definition

*Let  $E \subseteq \Pi_m$  and  $\sigma \in \Pi$  and  $\nu(E, \sigma)$  be the number of copies of partitions from  $E$  in  $\sigma$  then define:*



# Packing Definitions

## Definition

Let  $E \subseteq \Pi_m$  and  $\sigma \in \Pi$  and  $\nu(E, \sigma)$  be the number of copies of partitions from  $E$  in  $\sigma$  then define:

$$\mu(E, k, n) = \max\{\nu(E, \sigma) : \sigma \vdash [n] \text{ and } \sigma \text{ has at most } k \text{ blocks}\}$$

# Packing Definitions

## Definition

Let  $E \subseteq \Pi_m$  and  $\sigma \in \Pi$  and  $\nu(E, \sigma)$  be the number of copies of partitions from  $E$  in  $\sigma$  then define:

$$\mu(E, k, n) = \max\{\nu(E, \sigma) : \sigma \vdash [n] \text{ and } \sigma \text{ has at most } k \text{ blocks}\}$$

$$d(E, \sigma) = \frac{\nu(E, \sigma)}{\binom{n}{m}}$$

# Packing Definitions

## Definition

Let  $E \subseteq \Pi_m$  and  $\sigma \in \Pi$  and  $\nu(E, \sigma)$  be the number of copies of partitions from  $E$  in  $\sigma$  then define:

$$\mu(E, k, n) = \max\{\nu(E, \sigma) : \sigma \vdash [n] \text{ and } \sigma \text{ has at most } k \text{ blocks}\}$$

$$d(E, \sigma) = \frac{\nu(E, \sigma)}{\binom{n}{m}}$$

$$d(E, k, n) = \frac{\mu(E, k, n)}{\binom{n}{m}}$$

# Density

## Theorem

*For  $n \geq m$  we have that  $d(E, k, n - 1) \geq d(E, k, n)$  and  $d(E, k, n) \geq d(E, k - 1, n)$ .  $\square$*

# Density

## Theorem

For  $n \geq m$  we have that  $d(E, k, n - 1) \geq d(E, k, n)$  and  $d(E, k, n) \geq d(E, k - 1, n)$ .  $\square$

## Definition

Let the packing density of the set  $E \subseteq \Pi_m$  be

$$\delta(E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(E, n, n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(E, k, n).$$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Results for the Restricted Definition**
- 3 Results for the Non-Restricted Definition
- 4 Open Problems

# Restricted Results

- The results in this section can be found in "Packing patterns into words" by Burstein, Hästö and Mansour [2].

# Restricted Results

- The results in this section can be found in "Packing patterns into words" by Burstein, Hästö and Mansour [2].
- The restricted definition for containment is the same as the definition for pattern containment in words.



# Restricted Results

- The results in this section can be found in "Packing patterns into words" by Burstein, Hästö and Mansour [2].
- The restricted definition for containment is the same as the definition for pattern containment in words.
- A **layered** partition is one of the form  
 $11 \dots 122 \dots 233 \dots 3 \dots kk \dots k$ . (Called nondecreasing words)

# Restricted Results

- The results in this section can be found in "Packing patterns into words" by Burstein, Hästö and Mansour [2].
- The restricted definition for containment is the same as the definition for pattern containment in words.
- A **layered** partition is one of the form  
 $11 \dots 122 \dots 233 \dots 3 \dots kk \dots k$ . (Called nondecreasing words)
- If  $E$  consists entirely of layered partitions then there exists a layered  $\sigma$  so that  $\nu(E, \sigma) = \mu(E, n, n)$ .

# Restricted Results

- The results in this section can be found in "Packing patterns into words" by Burstein, Hästö and Mansour [2].
- The restricted definition for containment is the same as the definition for pattern containment in words.
- A **layered** partition is one of the form  $11 \dots 122 \dots 233 \dots 3 \dots kk \dots k$ . (Called nondecreasing words)
- If  $E$  consists entirely of layered partitions then there exists a layered  $\sigma$  so that  $\nu(E, \sigma) = \mu(E, n, n)$ .
- All of the results of Price [3] and Albert, Atkinson, Handley, Holton, and Stromquist [1] about layered permutations apply.

# Restricted Results

- The results in this section can be found in "Packing patterns into words" by Burstein, Hästö and Mansour [2].
- The restricted definition for containment is the same as the definition for pattern containment in words.
- A **layered** partition is one of the form  $11 \dots 122 \dots 233 \dots 3 \dots kk \dots k$ . (Called nondecreasing words)
- If  $E$  consists entirely of layered partitions then there exists a layered  $\sigma$  so that  $\nu(E, \sigma) = \mu(E, n, n)$ .
- All of the results of Price [3] and Albert, Atkinson, Handley, Holton, and Stromquist [1] about layered permutations apply.
- $\delta(121) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}-3}{2} \approx 0.2321$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Results for the Restricted Definition
- 3 Results for the Non-Restricted Definition**
- 4 Open Problems

# The Layered Case

- Let  $\sigma$  be a partition, then the size of block  $i$  is the number of times  $i$  occurs in  $\sigma$ .

# The Layered Case

- Let  $\sigma$  be a partition, then the size of block  $i$  is the number of times  $i$  occurs in  $\sigma$ .
- A pattern  $\pi$  will be called **monotone layered** if its block sizes are weakly increasing or weakly decreasing.

# The Layered Case

- Let  $\sigma$  be a partition, then the size of block  $i$  is the number of times  $i$  occurs in  $\sigma$ .
- A pattern  $\pi$  will be called **monotone layered** if its block sizes are weakly increasing or weakly decreasing.
- For example  $\pi = 11222333444444$  is monotone layered,



# The Layered Case

- Let  $\sigma$  be a partition, then the size of block  $i$  is the number of times  $i$  occurs in  $\sigma$ .
- A pattern  $\pi$  will be called **monotone layered** if its block sizes are weakly increasing or weakly decreasing.
- For example  $\pi = 11222333444444$  is monotone layered, but  $\pi = 112333$  is not monotone layered.

# The Layered Case

- Let  $\sigma$  be a partition, then the size of block  $i$  is the number of times  $i$  occurs in  $\sigma$ .
- A pattern  $\pi$  will be called **monotone layered** if its block sizes are weakly increasing or weakly decreasing.
- For example  $\pi = 11222333444444$  is monotone layered, but  $\pi = 112333$  is not monotone layered.
- If  $E$  consists entirely of monotone layered partitions then there exists some  $\sigma$ , which is also monotone layered, such that  $\nu(E, \sigma) = \mu(E, n, n)$ .

# The Layered Case

- Let  $\sigma$  be a partition, then the size of block  $i$  is the number of times  $i$  occurs in  $\sigma$ .
- A pattern  $\pi$  will be called **monotone layered** if its block sizes are weakly increasing or weakly decreasing.
- For example  $\pi = 11222333444444$  is monotone layered, but  $\pi = 112333$  is not monotone layered.
- If  $E$  consists entirely of monotone layered partitions then there exists some  $\sigma$ , which is also monotone layered, such that  $\nu(E, \sigma) = \mu(E, n, n)$ .
- Thus, all results for layered permutations that are monotone apply.

# Monotone Layered Theorem

## Definition

The *block structure* of a partition,  $\pi$ , is the multiset of block sizes of  $\pi$ .

# Monotone Layered Theorem

## Definition

The *block structure* of a partition,  $\pi$ , is the multiset of block sizes of  $\pi$ .

## Theorem

Given a monotone increasing layered pattern  $\pi = 1 \dots 12 \dots 2 \dots k \dots k$  then among all partitions with the same block structure as  $\sigma$ , the layered monotone increasing one is a maximizer.

# Proof

**Proof:** Consider  $\hat{\sigma}$ , the monotone increasing layered partition with the same block structure as  $\sigma$ . We must show that  $\nu(\pi, \sigma) \leq \nu(\pi, \hat{\sigma})$ .

# Proof

**Proof:** Consider  $\hat{\sigma}$ , the monotone increasing layered partition with the same block structure as  $\sigma$ . We must show that  $\nu(\pi, \sigma) \leq \nu(\pi, \hat{\sigma})$ . The last letter of  $\sigma$  was the last occurrence of some  $j$  in the partition. Let  $\hat{j}$  be the letter corresponding to  $j$  in  $\hat{\sigma}$ .

# Proof

**Proof:** Consider  $\hat{\sigma}$ , the monotone increasing layered partition with the same block structure as  $\sigma$ . We must show that  $\nu(\pi, \sigma) \leq \nu(\pi, \hat{\sigma})$ .

The last letter of  $\sigma$  was the last occurrence of some  $j$  in the partition.

Let  $\hat{j}$  be the letter corresponding to  $j$  in  $\hat{\sigma}$ .

By induction on the length of  $\sigma$  the number of copies of  $\pi$  in  $\sigma$  that do not involve this  $j$  is no more than the number of copies in  $\hat{\sigma}$  that do not involve  $\hat{j}$ .



# Proof

**Proof:** Consider  $\hat{\sigma}$ , the monotone increasing layered partition with the same block structure as  $\sigma$ . We must show that  $\nu(\pi, \sigma) \leq \nu(\pi, \hat{\sigma})$ .

The last letter of  $\sigma$  was the last occurrence of some  $j$  in the partition.

Let  $\hat{j}$  be the letter corresponding to  $j$  in  $\hat{\sigma}$ .

By induction on the length of  $\sigma$  the number of copies of  $\pi$  in  $\sigma$  that do not involve this  $j$  is no more than the number of copies in  $\hat{\sigma}$  that do not involve  $\hat{j}$ .

Now consider the number of copies of  $\pi$  in  $\sigma$  that did involve this  $j$ . In any such copy the  $j$ s must correspond to the  $k$ s of  $\pi$ . By inducting on  $k$  we can say that number of copies has not decreased in this case as well.  $\square$

## 121

## Conjecture

*The partition of  $[n]$  that maximizes the number of copies of 121 is*  
 $\underbrace{121212\dots}_n$

## 121

## Conjecture

The partition of  $[n]$  that maximizes the number of copies of 121 is  $\underbrace{121212\dots}_n$ .

## Example

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(121, \underbrace{121212\dots}_n) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

## 121

## Conjecture

The partition of  $[n]$  that maximizes the number of copies of 121 is  $\underbrace{121212\dots}_n$ .

## Example

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(121, \underbrace{121212\dots}_n) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

## Theorem

$$\delta(121) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad \square$$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Results for the Restricted Definition
- 3 Results for the Non-Restricted Definition
- 4 Open Problems**

# Open Problems

- Can we solve the layered problem?

# Open Problems

- Can we solve the layered problem?
- Can it be shown that  $12121\dots$  is the best possible for packing  $121$ ?

# Open Problems




- Can we solve the layered problem?
- Can it be shown that 12121 . . . is the best possible for packing 121?
- Can we at least get a better upper bound for 121?



# Open Problems

- Can we solve the layered problem?
- Can it be shown that  $12121\dots$  is the best possible for packing 121?
- Can we at least get a better upper bound for 121?
- Anything that might translate from the permutation case to the set partition case.

# THANK YOU

-  M. H. Albert, M. D. Atkinson, C. C. Handley, D. A. Holton, W. Stromquist, On packing densities of permutations, *Electron. J. Combin.* 9 (1) (2002) Research Paper 5, 20 pp. (electronic).
-  A. Burstein, P. Hästö, T. Mansour, Packing patterns into words, *Electron. J. Combin.* 9 (2) (2002/03) Research paper 20, 13 pp. (electronic), permutation patterns (Otago, 2003).
-  A. Price, Packing densities of layered patterns, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 1997.