

**Example 1:** Let  $G = D_4$  and let  $\mathcal{H} = \{I, R_{180}\}$ . As you (hopefully) showed on your daily bonus problem,  $H \triangleleft G$ .

In fact, the following are the equivalence classes in  $G$  induced by the cosets of  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$\mathcal{H} = \{I, R_{180}\}, R_{90}\mathcal{H} = \{R_{90}, R_{270}\} = \mathcal{H}R_{90}, H\mathcal{H} = \{H, V\} = \mathcal{H}H, \text{ and } D_1\mathcal{H} = \{D_1, D_2\} = \mathcal{H}D_1$$

Let's start by rearranging the rows and columns of the Cayley Table of  $D_4$  so that elements in the same equivalence class are next to each other:

	$I$	$R_{180}$	$R_{90}$	$R_{270}$	$H$	$V$	$D_1$	$D_2$
$I$	$I$	$R_{180}$	$R_{90}$	$R_{270}$	$H$	$V$	$D_1$	$D_2$
$R_{180}$	$R_{180}$	$I$	$R_{270}$	$R_{90}$	$V$	$H$	$D_2$	$D_1$
$R_{90}$	$R_{90}$	$R_{270}$	$R_{180}$	$I$	$D_2$	$D_1$	$H$	$V$
$R_{270}$	$R_{270}$	$R_{90}$	$I$	$R_{180}$	$D_1$	$D_2$	$V$	$H$
$H$	$H$	$V$	$D_1$	$D_2$	$I$	$R_{180}$	$R_{90}$	$R_{270}$
$V$	$V$	$H$	$D_2$	$D_1$	$R_{180}$	$I$	$R_{270}$	$R_{90}$
$D_1$	$D_1$	$D_2$	$V$	$H$	$R_{270}$	$R_{90}$	$I$	$R_{180}$
$D_2$	$D_2$	$D_1$	$H$	$V$	$R_{90}$	$R_{270}$	$R_{180}$	$I$

Next, we build the Cayley Table for the Quotient group  $G/H$  and compare:

	$\mathcal{H}$	$R_{90}\mathcal{H}$	$H\mathcal{H}$	$D_1\mathcal{H}$
$\mathcal{H}$	$\mathcal{H}$	$R_{90}\mathcal{H}$	$H\mathcal{H}$	$D_1\mathcal{H}$
$R_{90}\mathcal{H}$	$R_{90}\mathcal{H}$	$\mathcal{H}$	$D_1\mathcal{H}$	$H\mathcal{H}$
$H\mathcal{H}$	$H\mathcal{H}$	$D_1\mathcal{H}$	$\mathcal{H}$	$R_{90}\mathcal{H}$
$D_1\mathcal{H}$	$D_1\mathcal{H}$	$H\mathcal{H}$	$R_{90}\mathcal{H}$	$\mathcal{H}$

Notice that if we think of collapsing each  $2 \times 2$  subsection of the first Cayley Table into a single square labelled by the related coset, we obtain the second Cayley Table. Perhaps the best way to think of the process of creating a Quotient group using a normal subgroup is that we are using the partition formed by the collection of cosets to define an equivalence relation of the original group  $G$ . We make this into a group by defining coset "multiplication". This results in a group precisely when the subgroup  $H$  is normal in  $G$ .

A final question to address is this: what happens if we attempt this same process with a subgroup that it not normal? Let's attempt this with  $\mathcal{H} = \{I, V\}$ . Since  $R_{90}\mathcal{H} = \{R_{90}, D_1\}$ , while  $\mathcal{H}R_{90} = \{R_{90}, D_2\}$ ,  $H$  is not normal in  $G$ .

The following are the left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ :

$$\mathcal{H} = \{I, V\}, R_{90}\mathcal{H} = \{R_{90}, D_1\}, R_{180}\mathcal{H} = \{R_{180}, H\}, \text{ and } R_{270}\mathcal{H} = \{R_{270}, D_2\}$$

Let's rearranging the rows and columns of the Cayley Table of  $D_4$  so that elements in the same left coset are next to each other:

	$I$	$V$	$R_{90}$	$D_1$	$R_{180}$	$H$	$R_{270}$	$D_2$
$I$	$I$	$V$	$R_{90}$	$D_1$	$R_{180}$	$H$	$R_{270}$	$D_2$
$V$	$V$	$I$	$D_2$	$R_{270}$	$H$	$R_{180}$	$D_1$	$R_{90}$

We'll stop here as we can already see that we have insurmountable problems.