Math 102 Section 01 Exam 2 Review Sheet

Section 2.1: Inductive and Deductive Reasoning

Key Topics:

- Know the definitions of inductive and deductive reasoning.
- Be able to identify which of the two is being used in a given example.
- Be able to use inductive reasoning to make conjectures.
- Be able to use deductive reasoning to do a simple proof.

Section 2.2: Statements, Connectives and Quantifiers

Key Topics:

- Know the definition of a statement, and the difference between simple and compound statements.
- Be able to determine whether or not an English sentence is logical statement.
- Know the symbols for and meanings of the 5 basic logical operations.
- Be able to translate from English statements to logical symbols and vice versa.
- Know the difference between universal and existential quantifiers.
- Be able to negate logical statements, including those with quantifiers.

Sections 2.3 and 2.4: Truth Tables

Key Topics:

- Memorize the truth tables for the 5 logical connectives.
- Know the difference between "inclusive or" and "exclusive or"
- Know the number or rows in the truth table of a logical eqpression with given number of variables.
- Be able to build the truth table for a given logical expression.
- Know the definition of logical equivalence for two logical statements.
- Be able to use truth tables to determine whether or not two statements are logically equivalent.
- Know DeMorgan's Laws, and be able to use them to rewrite statements.
- Be able to identify the hypothesis and conclusion in a conditional statement.

• Given a conditional statement, be able to write the converse, inverse, and contrapositive of the statement, and know which of these are logically equivalent.

Section 2.5: Verifying Agruments

Key Topics:

- Know the components of a logical arguement: premises and a conclusion.
- Know how to translate an argument from English to symbolic form, and vice versa.
- Know the definition of a tautology.
- Know how to use a truth table to determine whether or not an argument is valid.

• Memorize the forms of the standard Valid arguments: the Law of Detachment, the Law of Contraposition, the Law of Syllogism, and Disjunctive Syllogism, and the Invalid arguments: the Fallacy of the Converse and the Fallacy of the Inverse.

• Be able to determine whether a given argument is valid by translating it into symbolic form and comparing it to the list of standard arguments.

Section 2.6: Using Euler Diagrams to Verify Syllogisms

Key Topics:

- Know how to contruct Euler digrams for a quantified logical statement.
- Know how to analyze the validity of syllogism by drawing Euler diagrams
- Know how to draw all possible Euler diagrams for a given syllogism.

Practice Exam: Chapter Test page 135-136 # 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20