## Math 323

## LaGrange Multipliers

**Example:** Consider the line y = 5 - 3x. What is the point on this line closest to the origin? There are several methods that can be used to solve this problem.

The calculus I method would be to derive a function that gives the distance of a point on the line y = 5 - 3x from the origin as a function of x and then optimize this function.

A more elegant way to solve this is to notice that the circle of radius 1 centered at the origin does not intersect the line y = 5 - 3x while the circle of radius 3 does.

In fact, there is some perfect radius 0 < r < 3 for which the circle of radius r centered at the origin is tangent to the line y = 5 - 3x, and the closest point on the line to the origin is the point of tangency.

With this in mind, we consider  $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$ , and let g(x,y) = 3x + y - 5 = 0 (we just rearranged y = 5 - 3x). We are looking for the level curve of f that is tangent to g(x,y) = 0.

That is, a level curve for which the tangent line to f is parallel to the line y = 5 - 3x, or a point where  $\nabla f$  and  $\nabla g$  are parallel to one another, or a point where  $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g$  for some constant  $\lambda$ .

Now, 
$$\nabla f = \langle 2x, 2y \rangle$$
 and  $\nabla g = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$ , so  $\langle 2x, 2y \rangle = \lambda \langle 3, 1 \rangle$ 

Thus  $2x = \lambda \cdot 3$  and  $2y = \lambda \cdot 1$ , or  $\frac{2}{3}x = \lambda = 2y$ , so 2x = 6y, or x = 3y.

Substituting this into g(x,y)=3x+y-5=0, we have 9y+y-5=0, or 10y=5, so  $y=\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $x=\frac{3}{2}$ .

Hence the point on the line y = 5 - 3x that is closest to the origin is  $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ .

This example is an illustration of a much more general principle.

La Grange's Theorem: Suppose f and g are functions of two variables with continuous first partial derivatives and suppose that  $\nabla g \neq \vec{0}$  throughout a region of the xy-plane. If f has an extremum  $f(x_0, y_0)$  subject to the constraint g(x, y) = 0, then there is a real number  $\lambda$  such that  $\nabla f(x_0, y_0) = \lambda \nabla g(x_0, y_0)$ 

**Proof Sketch**: Since the graph of g(x,y)=0 is a curve  $\mathcal{C}$  in the plane and g has continuous first partials, then  $\mathcal{C}$  has parameterization

$$\mathcal{C}: \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x = h(t) \\ y = k(t) & t \in I \end{array} \right. \text{ where } h(t) \text{ and } k(t) \text{ are continuous functions on some interval } I.$$

Let  $\vec{r}(t) = \langle h(t), k(t) \rangle$  be the associated vector-valued function. Let  $t_0$  be the value in I such that  $h(t_0) = x_0$  and  $k(t_0) = y_0$  and let F(h(t), k(t)) be the composite function. Since  $F(t_0)$  in an extremum on a region with continuous partials,  $F'(t_0) = 0$ .

By the Chain Rule,  $F'(t) = f_x \frac{dx}{dt} + f_y \frac{dy}{dt} = f_x h'(t) + f_y k'(t)$ .

Therefore, when  $t = t_0$ , we have:  $0 = F'(t_0) = f_x(x_0, y_0)h'(t_0) + f_y(x_0, y_0)k'(t_0) = \nabla f(x_0, y_0) \cdot \vec{r}'(t_0)$ 

Hence  $\nabla f(x_0, y_0)$  is orthogonal to the tangent vector  $\vec{r}'(t_0)$ . Moreover,  $\nabla g(x_0, y_0)$  is also orthogonal to  $\vec{r}'(t_0)$  since C is a level curve of g.

Therefore  $\nabla f(x_0, y_0)$  is parallel to  $\nabla g(x_0, y_0)$ . That is,  $\nabla f(x_0, y_0) = \lambda \nabla g(x_0, y_0)$  for some real number  $\lambda$ .

We call  $\lambda$  a **La Grange Multiplier**.

**Corollary:** The points at which a function f of two variables has relative extrema subject to the constraint g(x,y) = 0 are included among the points determined by the first two coordinates of the solutions  $(x, y, \lambda)$  to the system of equations:

$$C: \begin{cases} f_x(x,y) = \lambda g_x(x,y) \\ f_y(x,y) = \lambda g_y(x,y) \\ g(x,y) = 0 \end{cases}$$

**Corollary:** [3 variable version] The points at which a function f of three variables has relative extrema subject to the constraint g(x, y, z) = 0 are included among the points determined by the first three coordinates of the solutions  $(x, y, z, \lambda)$  to the system of equations:

$$\mathcal{C}: \begin{cases} f_x(x,y,z) = \lambda g_x(x,y,z) \\ f_y(x,y,z) = \lambda g_y(x,y,z) \\ f_z(x,y,z) = \lambda g_z(x,y,z) \\ g(x,y,z) = 0 \end{cases}$$

## **Examples:**

1. Suppose that we want to cut a rectangular bean from a circular log of radius 1 foot. What dimensions will maximize the cross-sectional area of the beam?

We set up a coordinate system for a cross section of the log by looking at the unit circle centered at the origin. We can specify the dimensions of a beam cut from this log by selecting a point in the first quadrant. The x-coordinate gives half the width of the beam, and the y-coordinate gives half the height of the beam. Then A = f(x, y) = (2x)(2y) = 4xy, subject to the constraint  $g(x, y) = x^2 - y^2 - 1 = 0$  (we assume the the maximum cross-sectional area occurs when we cut the log so that the "corners" of the beam lie along the circumference of the log's cross-section).

First notice that the partial derivatives of f and g are:  $f_x = 4y$ ,  $f_y = 4x$ ,  $g_x = 2x$ , and  $g_y = 2y$ .

Therefore, by La Grange's Theorem, we are looking for points on the circle satisfying  $4y = \lambda(2x)$  and  $4x = \lambda(2y)$ 

That is, 
$$\lambda = \frac{4y}{2x} = \frac{4x}{2y}$$
, so  $8y^2 = 8x^2$  or  $x^2 = y^2$ .

Substituting this into the constraint equation gives  $x^2 + x^2 = 2x^2 = 1$ , so  $x^2 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $x = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$  and  $y = \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ 

Recall that we can assume the the point determining the dimensions of the beam is in the first quadrant, so the point is  $P(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})$  and hence the dimensions of the beam are  $(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2})$ .

2. Suppose that a rectangular box with no lid is to be constructed from  $12m^2$  of cardboard. Find the maximum volume of such a box.

We set up a coordinate system for the box by letting at the x-coordinate give the width of the box, the y-coordinate give the length of the box, and the z coordinate give the height of the box. Then V = f(x, y, z) = xyz, subject to the constraint that the total surface area of the box satisfies: g(x, y, z) = 2xz + 2yz + xy - 12 = 0.

First notice that the partial derivatives of f and g are:  $f_x = yz$ ,  $f_y = xz$ ,  $f_z = xy$ ,  $g_x = 2z + y$ ,  $g_y = 2z + x$ , and  $g_z = 2x + 2y$ .

Therefore, by La Grange's Theorem, we are looking for points satisfying  $yz = \lambda(2z + y)$ ,  $xz = \lambda(2z + x)$ , and  $xy = \lambda(2x + 2y)$ 

That is, (multiplying by each "missing" variable:  $xyz = \lambda(2xz + xy)$ ,  $xyz = \lambda(2yz + xy)$ , and  $xyz = \lambda(2xz + 2yz)$ 

Equating the first two gives:  $\lambda(2xz+xy)=\lambda(2yz+xy)$ , or 2xz+xy=2yz+xy.

Thus 2xz = 2yz, so either x = y or z = 0.

Equating the last two gives:  $\lambda(2yz+xy)=\lambda(2xz+2yz)$ , or 2yz+xy=2xz+2yz.

Thus xy = 2xz, so either x = 0 or y = 2z.

Since we clearly do not want a box with no width or no height, the box of maximal volume must satisfy x = y = 2z.

Substituting this into the constraint equation gives 2(2z)z + 2(2z)z + (2z)(2z) - 12 = 0 or  $4z^2 + 4z^2 + 4z^2 = 12$ , so  $12z^2 = 12$  and hence  $z = \pm 1$ .

We reject the negative solution and conclude that x = y = 2 and z = 1 gives the width, length, and height of the box with maximal volume.

Two Constraint Optimization: Let f(x, y, z) be a function subject to two constraints g(x, y, z) = 0 and h(x, y, z) = 0. If an extremum of f subject to these constraints occurs at a point  $P(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  where  $\nabla g(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  and  $\nabla h(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  are non-zero and non-parallel, then  $\nabla f(x_0, y_0, z_0) = \lambda \nabla g(x_0, y_0, z_0) + \mu \nabla h(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ 

**Example:** The plane x + y + z = 12 intersects the paraboloid  $z = x^2 + y^2$  in an ellipse. Find the lowest and highest points on this ellipse.

Notice that the two constraint system that can be used to find the highest and lowest points in this intersection is: f(x, y, z) = z, g(x, y, z) = x + y + z - 12 = 0, and  $h(x, y, z) = x^2 - y^2 - z = 0$ .