

Recall: A set of vector $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ in a vector space V forms a basis for V if both of the following hold:
(a) $\text{span } S = V$ (that is, the vector space V is spanned by the set S) (b) S is a linearly independent set.

Examples:

1.

2.

3.

Definition: A vector space V is **finite dimensional** if there is a finite subset of V that is a basis for V . If no such subset exists, then we say that V is **infinite dimensional**.

Note: If $S_1 = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a basis for V , then $S_2 = \{c\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ for $c \neq 0$ is also a basis, so bases aren't unique.

Theorem 4.8: If $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a basis for a vector space V then every vector in V can be written in one and only one way as a linear combination of vectors in V .

Proof: Let $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ be a basis for a vector space V and suppose $\vec{v} \in V$. Since S spans V , there is at least one way of expressing \vec{v} as a linear combination in S . Suppose that $\vec{v} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k$ and $\vec{v} = b_1\vec{v}_1 + b_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + b_k\vec{v}_k$.

Then $\vec{0} = \vec{v} - \vec{v} = (a_1 - b_1)\vec{v}_1 + (a_2 - b_2)\vec{v}_2 + \dots + (a_k - b_k)\vec{v}_k$. Since S is linearly independent, we must have $(a_1 - b_1) = (a_2 - b_2) = \dots = (a_k - b_k) = 0$. That is, $a_i = b_i$ for all $i = 1 \dots n$. \square .

Theorem 4.9: Let $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ be a set of non-zero vectors in a vector space V and suppose $W = \text{span } S$. Then some subset of S is a basis for W .

Proof: First, notice that if S is linearly independent, then S is a basis for W .

Suppose that S is linearly dependent. Then, using Theorem 4.7, some vector v_j in S can be written as a linear combination of the other vectors in S . Hence, we may delete this vector from S to obtain a strictly smaller set S_1 that still spans W . If S_1 is linearly independent, then it is a basis for W . Otherwise, we may apply the same procedure to delete a vector from S_1 . Since a single non-zero vector is linearly independent and S is a finite set, repeating this procedure must eventually produce a basis for W .

Note: The proof of Theorem 4.9 suggests the following algorithm for finding a basis of a subspace W of a vector space V :

- **Step 0:** Begin with a set $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ such that $\text{span } S = W$.
- **Step 1:** Consider the equation $a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k = \vec{0}$. Solve this system for a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k by representing this system as a matrix and applying the Gauss-Jordan method to put the augmented matrix into reduced row echelon form. If $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_k = 0$, then S is already a basis.
- **Step 2:** If not, find a vector v_j that is a linear combination of the other vectors in S and delete it from the set S , obtaining a smaller set S_1 .
- Repeat Steps 1 and 2 for the new set S_1 . This process will eventually produce a basis for W .

Special Case: If $V = R^n$ or $V = R_n$, then the following more efficient method can be used:

- **Step 0:** Begin with a set $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ such that $\text{span } S = W$.
- **Step 1:** Consider the equation $a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_k\vec{v}_k = \vec{0}$. Solve this system for a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k by representing this system as a matrix and applying the Gauss-Jordan method to put the augmented matrix into reduced row echelon form.
- **Step 2:** The collection of vectors corresponding to the columns that contain a leading 1 form a basis for W .

Theorem 4.10: If $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is a basis for a vector space V , and $T = \{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_r\}$ is a linearly independent set in V , then $r \leq n$

Proof:

Corollary 4.1: If $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ and $T = \{\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_m\}$ are bases for a vector space V , then $m = n$.

Proof: Applying Theorem 4.10 to S and T , we have that $m \leq n$. Reversing the roles of the two sets and applying Theorem 4.10 again, we have $n \leq m$. Hence $m = n$. \square

Notes: A single vector space V can have many different bases.

Definition: The **dimension** of a non-zero vector space V is the number of vectors in a basis for V . This is well defined by Corollary 4.1. We denote this as: $\dim V$.

Note: By convention, $\dim \{\vec{0}\} = 0$.

Examples:

- Both R^n and R_n have dimension n . (What is the dimension of M_{mn} ?)
- P_n has dimension $n + 1$ (for example, since $P_2 = \{p(t) : p(t) = at^2 + bt + c\}$, then $\dim P_2 = 3$).
- P is infinite dimensional.

Definition: Let S be a set of vectors in a vector space V . A subset T of S is called a **maximal independent subset** of S if T is a linearly independent set of vectors that is *not* properly contained in any other linearly independent subset of S . Similarly, a **minimal spanning set** of a vector space V is a set S of vectors that spans V and that does not contain any proper subset that spans V .

Corollary 4.2: If $\dim V = n$, then any maximal independent subset of V contains n vectors.

Corollary 4.3: If a vector space V has dimension n , then any minimal spanning set of V contains n vectors.

Corollary 4.4: If a vector space V has dimension n , then any set of $m > n$ vectors is linearly dependent.

Corollary 4.5: If a vector space V has dimension b , then any set of $m < n$ vectors does not span V .