

**Recall:** The Axiomatic System for a Finite Projective Plane:

**Undefined Terms:** point, line, incident

**Defined Term:** Points incident to the same line are *collinear*.

**Axioms:**

- **Axiom P1:** For any two distinct points, there is exactly one line incident with both points.
- **Axiom P2:** For any two distinct lines, there is at least one point incident with both lines.
- **Axiom P3:** Every line has at least three points incident with it.
- **Axiom P4:** There exist at least four distinct points of which no three are collinear.

**Definition:** A **projective plane of order  $n$**  is a geometry that satisfies the above axioms for a finite projective plane and has at least one line with exactly  $n + 1$  ( $n > 1$ ) distinct points incident with it.

**Theorem P2:** In a projective plane of order  $n$ , there exists at least one point with exactly  $n + 1$  distinct lines incident with it.

**Proof:**

By the definition of a projective plane of order  $n$ , there exists a line  $\ell$  with exactly  $n + 1$  points incident to it, call these points  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{n+1}$ . By Axiom P4, there is a point  $Q$  not incident with  $\ell$ . By Axiom P1, there must be lines  $QP_1, QP_2, \dots, QP_{n+1}$ . We need to show these lines are distinct and that there are no other lines through  $Q$ .

1. Use proof by contradiction and axiom P1 to prove that if  $i \neq j$ , then  $QP_i \neq QP_j$ .

To complete the proof, it remains to show that these  $n + 1$  lines are the only lines incident to  $Q$ . To see this, suppose that  $m$  is a line that is incident to  $Q$ . By axiom P2,  $m$  and  $\ell$  must be incident at some point  $R$ . Since  $R$  is incident to  $\ell$ ,  $R = P_i$  for some  $i$ . Hence, by axiom P1,  $m = P_i$ .  $\square$ .

2. State and prove the dual of axiom P1.

**Theorem P3:** In a projective plane of order  $n$ , every point is incident with exactly  $n + 1$  lines.

**Proof:**

Let  $P$  be a point in a projective plane of order  $n$ . By the definition of a projective plane of order  $n$ , there exists a line  $\ell$  with exactly  $n + 1$  points incident to it, call them  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{n+1}$ . We have two possible cases: either  $P$  is incident to  $\ell$  or  $P$  is not incident to  $\ell$ .

**Case 1:** Suppose  $P$  is *not* incident to  $\ell$ . The proof of this case follows immediately from the proof of Theorem P2, taking  $Q = P$ . Hence, in this case,  $P$  is incident with exactly  $n + 1$  lines.

**Case 2:** Suppose  $P$  is incident to  $\ell$ . Then  $P = P_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n + 1\}$ .

**Note:** Our strategy will be to show that there is a line  $m$  satisfying:  $P$  is not incident with  $m$  and  $m$  has  $n + 1$  distinct points, which will allow us to apply Case 1.

By Axiom  $P4$ , these must be distinct points  $Q$  and  $R$  both not incident with  $\ell$ . By Axiom  $P1$  and Axiom  $P3$ , the lines  $RP_1$ ,  $RP_2$ , and  $RP_3$  all exist. Furthermore, since  $R$  is not on  $\ell$ , by Axiom  $P1$ ,  $P$  is not incident to at least two of the three lines  $RP_1$ ,  $RP_2$ , and  $RP_3$ . By axiom  $P1$ ,  $Q$  is not incident to at least two of the three lines  $RP_1$ ,  $RP_2$ , and  $RP_3$ . Thus at least one of the lines  $RP_1$ ,  $RP_2$ , and  $RP_3$  has neither  $P$  nor  $Q$  incident with it.

Since  $Q$  is not on  $\ell$ , using Case 1,  $Q$  is incident to exactly  $n + 1$  lines:  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n+1}$ . By the Dual of Axiom  $P1$ , each line  $m_j$  intersects  $m$  at exactly one point  $S_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ . These  $n + 1$  points must be distinct. Otherwise, if  $S_j = S_k$  for some  $j \neq k$ , then  $m_j = QS_j = QS_k = m_k$  for  $j \neq k$ , which contradicts the fact that  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n+1}$  are all distinct. Moreover, the  $n + 1$  points  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{n+1}$  are the only points on  $m$ . Otherwise, there would be another point  $T$  on  $m$  distinct from the  $n + 1$  points  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{n+1}$ , but then an  $(n + 2)nd$  line  $QT \neq m_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$ , would intersect the line  $m$ , which contradicts the fact  $Q$  is incident to exactly  $n + 1$  lines. Hence, there are exactly  $n + 1$  points on  $m$ . Therefore,  $P$  is not on  $m$  and  $m$  contains exactly  $n + 1$  points. Thus, we may apply Case 1 to conclude that  $P$  is incident with exactly  $n + 1$  lines.

By Cases 1 and 2, every point in a projective plane of order  $n$  is incident with exactly  $n + 1$  lines.  $\square$

3. Create diagrams that help illustrate the constructive arguments in Case 2 of the proof above.

4. Prove the dual of axiom  $P4$  (thus completing the proof that this axiomatic system satisfies the principle of duality).

**Theorem P4:** In a projective plane of order  $n$ , every line is incident with exactly  $n + 1$  points.

**Proof:** This result follows immediately from Theorem  $P3$  and the fact that this axiomatic system satisfies the principle of duality.

**Theorem P5:** In a projective plane of order  $n$ , there exist exactly  $n^2 + n + 1$  points and  $n^2 + n + 1$  lines.

**Proof:**

Let  $P$  be a point in a projective plane of order  $n$ . The existence of  $P$  is guaranteed by Axiom  $P4$ , as is the existence of other points distinct from  $P$ . By Axiom  $P1$ , every point distinct from  $P$  must be on exactly one line with  $P$ . By Theorem  $P3$ , there are exactly  $n + 1$  lines incident with  $P$ . By Theorem  $P4$ , each of these lines is incident with exactly  $n$  points distinct from  $P$  (and, of course, distinct from each other). Therefore, there are  $n(n + 1) + 1 = n^2 + n + 1$  points in the geometry.

By the principle of duality, there are also  $n^2 + n + 1$  lines in the geometry.  $\square$ .