

Chiasmus and Prosification of the *Cantar de mio Cid* in the *Crónica de Veinte Reyes*

Benjamin Smith, PhD

Department of Languages and Cultures

Minnesota State University Moorhead

This article addresses a phenomenon of prosification with the *Cantar de mio Cid* (CMC) in the *Crónica de veinte reyes* (CVR).¹ As scribes took what they knew of the epic poem and converted it into a readable, Castilian prose, they inverted certain portions of the text in what appear to be deliberate criss-crossing of proper nouns, clitics, subjects and verbs. This word order inversion during the prosification process has the potential to shed important light on the role of the scribe in the Alphonsine court in Toledo in the 14th century in addition to helping to understand the liminal space between oral and literate culture. Whether this phenomenon of switching word order happens in the prosification of other texts has yet to be determined. For now, this in-depth analysis of chiasmic prosification of the CMC in the CVR will serve as a model for such studies.

Critical Apparatus

The approach to explain the prosification process first examines prosification as a method of copying text. I have researched carefully the figure of chiasmus as a device and how it has been used over the centuries. Chiasmus is most commonly referred to in passing as a simple poetic figure involving a few words in the same text or in some cases of ancient literature as a figure that spins entire webs of a story in symmetrical, overarching patterns. After plumbing the depths of the chiasmus chasm, I comment on what I have observed in the prosification of the CMC. The examples of chiasmic prosification in the CVR help to draw conclusions about the nature of copying and the importance of the original source text.

In researching this phenomenon, I placed the text of the CVR (MS Escorial I.i.12) along side the CMC (Montaner 2007 edition) to match up points of convergence between the two texts. I also drew upon the talented work of scholars who had already extensively researched the two documents, in particular the numerous versions of the CVR. The breadth of knowledge brought to bear on this subject is due in large part to the work of Nancy Joe Dyer, whose meticulous study of the inclusion of the CMC in the CVR paved the way for my discovery of word order inversion between the two texts. As

¹ The version of the CMC used for this article was the 1993 Alberto Montaner edition. The CVR was taken from the *Admyte* CD-ROM which published the Escorial Y-i-12 manuscript.

examples of chiasmic prosification were found, I isolated them and catalogued them following the order in which they appeared in the CVR. The entire corpus of examples was used to study the patterns of intertextual inversion that could be considered chiasmic prosification. The final portion of this article focuses on a cluster of examples of chiasmic prosification that best illustrate the phenomenon. In the end, I describe the patterns that came to light including parts of speech involved, recurring themes, clusters of convergence and the role of the scribe in the prosification process.

Prosification

Prosification is the process by which poetic text is converted to prose. Marina Brownlee (1985), in tracing the history of the practice of prosification, comments that prose was held to be "more truthful than verse" being particularly reserved for translations and chronicles in the early middle ages (400). It is no wonder that the story of Rodrigo Díaz would find greater credibility having his story told in a chronicle than circulating about in oral accounts of his life. Yet, linguistically, there are many things to be learned from prosifications. As the verse falls away and the essence of an account remains, it is particularly illustrative to find that formulas and epithets no longer play the role they did in verse. While prose, especially that of the chronicles did have stock phrases in transitional contexts and some repetitive passages the dependency on meter for their consistency gave them a substantially weaker hold on the syntax. Prose can still contain traces of the assonance present in the original poem as many scholars have observed with examples of prosified text, but the role of the scribe is magnified as perspective enlarges the reader's vision. What we are to examine here is the role the scribe played in the prosification process and if that scribe engaged in alteration of the original text that was in any way intentional, artistic, or manipulative.²

Chiasmus

The phenomenon of inverting word order has more weight than that of employing a simple rhetorical device. In chiasmus, authors make a deliberate attempt to repeat a concept by means of switching the order of terms and phrases. If one were to assign the letters A and B to elements in a phrase or sentence, the

² See also Smith (1983) wherein he explains the importance of the epic prosifications in terms of literary development and the reputation prose chronicles had vis à vis the circulation of epic songs in the oral tradition.

symmetry of the inversion follows an AB:B'A'-type patterning. The term "chiasmus" comes from the word for the Greek letter χ 'chi' in large part because of the shape of the letter. The word chiasmic, when describing a pattern literally means, 'a structure that forms a χ .' In literature and speech, this rhetorical/poetic device has been used for centuries to draw a parallel between two or more concepts or to contrast opposing ideas.

While one can read much on chiasmus and the presence of the structure in antiquity, little has been written on the structure itself and its importance in literature and discourse. Chiasmic phrases and quotes tend to appeal to both users and hearers. For example, the famous quotes, "Ask not what your country can do for you, but what you can do for your country" from John F. Kennedy³ or "When the going gets tough, the tough get going" attributed to Joseph P. Kennedy (Grothe 9) use almost identical words on each side of the phrase to construct a rhetorical chiasmus. Chiasmic structure also serves as the basis for many popular sayings and clichés such as the one in Spanish that states, "el que mucho habla de lo que sabe, poco sabe de lo que dice". In this case the inversion is not word for word, but the concepts are inverted evenly.

In order for chiasmus to work properly, the parameters under which it operates need to be clearly understood. First, a common theme usually defines the context. This context could be a verse, a phrase, a sentence, a paragraph, or even an entire story. With chiasmus, the necessary traits are that the structure be repeated backwards. Often there is a central focal point around which the chiasmic structure revolves. This is the 'crux' of the argument. Some studies have shown how a chiasmic construction can draw attention to the center of the inversion to convey a certain message (Walsh 1992). Chiasmus is not capricious, rather it is often deliberate. In all cases, chiasmus repeats the common theme and operates under defined parameters following centuries of traditions and antique patterns.

Examples of chiasmus date back to Sumeric, Aramaic, Hebrew, Latin and Greek literature. Most catalogues of literary terms define chiasmus (also referred to as 'chiasm') as a tool used at the level of the phrase or sentence. However, there are studies that describe passages that go beyond the sentence to more extended uses of chiasmus at the level of the paragraph or even larger portions of text. Shipley, in a dictionary of literary terms, defines chiasm (sic) as "a balanced passage" (42). John Welch, an author of several articles on chiasmus, has identified examples of chiasmus at the level of chapters and entire story patterns. In a book on chiasmus in ancient literature, Welch brought together hundreds of examples of this poetic figure

with essays written by specialists in their respective literatures. Welch states at the beginning of the book, "When love of symmetry becomes so extraordinarily pronounced, I think we are justified in giving it a name, and looking for a cause" (Welch 1981:13). Lanham, in his book on rhetorical figures, states that it was used in antiquity to elaborate on a theme or argument (33). Kennedy, *et al* elaborate further by calling chiasmus a "syntactical pattern ... [that] in more sophisticated contexts, ... can be a conclusive-sounding rhetorical device signaling important reversals" (22). Mardy Grothe, whose Internet site (www.chiasmus.com) is one of the first to appear in a Google search of the term, writes in his book, "Chiasmus has a long and distinguished history" (45).

But chiasmus is more than simply figurative language. Like other favorite poetic devices and figures such as alliteration, metaphor, metonymy, simile, synecdoche, hyperbole, zeugma, litotes and apostrophe, chiasmus takes poetics to a higher level of expression. The very nature of poetry demands the use of extralinguistic techniques to convey a given message. As with nature and the cosmos where examples of symmetry abound, poetry becomes an adornment to nature rather than these traits being an adornment of poetry. There are three principle characteristics of chiasmus: repetition, symmetry and centralization. Drawing from the history and tradition, let us take a brief look at these characteristics before examining how they are manifest in the Alphonsine school of prosification.

Repetition

Any pedagogue would agree that repetition is so important in teaching that it is more of a practice than a method. Repetition serves to seal in the mind of the learner the message being communicated. What is not caught on the first time around tends to receive attention the second or third time. Repetition cuts a neural groove into the learner's mind that can be drawn upon for application to other acquired knowledge. Often repetition isn't word for word, it is slightly rephrased or represented in a way that allows for multiple conduits to the core principle.

Repetition is a key factor in patterning. For example, music employs repetition as a way to communicate common sentiments and to stimulate the emotion of the listeners and/or musicians. From Chopsticks to Gregorian chants, repetition can be both parallel and chiasmic in the ascent and descent of notes and musical patterns. Baroque music, in particular, took advantage of chiasmic style repeating the same phrase backwards and sometimes in different clefs, registers or even instruments, all in harmony with the original.

Repetition serves an important role with memory as well. Recalling that medieval poetry found itself at the juncture between antiquity and modernity, between the oral tradition and the written or literate tradition, the repetitive aspect of chiasmus reinforced concepts that if mentioned only once would have disappeared from memory. Oral memory, as Parry (1928), Lord (1964) and others discovered, is not necessarily word for word. What was important in cultures whose primary form

³ Inaugural Address by John F. Kennedy, January 20th 1961.

of expression was oral was the repetition of an idea. Walter Ong's idea (1982) that thought, and by extension, oral expression has four characteristics, (i.e. additive, aggregative, redundant and conservative or traditionalist) strengthens the argument for the use of chiasmus in medieval Spanish poetry, since early medieval poetry in Spain arose from oral traditions. The figure of chiasmus was more than just a repetition of concepts and words; it served as an important pedagogical and mnemonic tool.

Symmetry

Unlike the mere repetition of elements, chiasmus functions in equilibrium. This is the reason why AB:B'A'-type references are used. The pattern is not AB:A', nor is it ABC:A'. There are examples in literature of ring structures with a more ABC:B'A'-type patterning, but chiasmus differentiates itself by posing a symmetrical repetition of *all* original elements.⁴ With chiasmus, the same number of elements pertaining to the first part need to be reflected in the second part in descending order. Welch cites some examples of chiasmus from antiquity in which some of the elements are not necessarily repeated in the same order, but the overall scheme achieves a kind of balance. In this respect, it is not abnormal to see a chiasmus along the order of ABCD:D'B'C'A' as well as ABCD: D'C'B'A' The overall symmetry makes it so that the chiasmus satisfies the requirement of repetition but it does not come off as unstructured or complete.

Centralization

The idea of repeating a message underscores the importance of the message itself. One message repeated twice in an extended chiasmus where multiple elements come into play focuses the attention on a central element. In most chiasmic structures, the central message organizes the entire text. According to David Noel Freedman, chiasmus "serves to concentrate the reader's or hearer's interest on the central expression" (7).

Modern rhetoric stresses the importance of emphasizing the most important points at the beginning of a speech, lecture or essay. There are still schools of thought that teach that it is better to keep the reader or hearer in the dark, leaving them to guess up until the end for the most important point of the argument. But according to studies on chiasmus, poets who have chosen to employ this technique place their central message in the middle. Not only do authors tend to take this approach, but those accustomed to reading chiasmic structures in antiquity learned to look for the message in the mid-point in an extended chiasmus (Welch 11).

With regard to the kind of intertextual chiasmus found between the CMC and the CVR, the central element is the poet. There are several examples in the Middle Ages of a passage quoted in another text quoting another poet. Normally such a poet would quote the other word for word, as the passage may be a familiar refrain or expression, as in, "Los suspiros son aire y van al aire".⁵ Nevertheless, some pre-literate cultures quote other poets or authors in a less precise manner. Lord confirmed this when he asked certain poets if they thought they were quoting 'exactly' what another had said. Although they insisted they were quoting another poet exactly, it was found that the same concept was communicated, but not necessarily with the same words or in the same order (Lord 1964:125). In an oral culture, this is fertile ground for chiasmus. The function chiasmus takes on is one of calling the attention of the reader/ hearer to the center of the inversion, which in this case would be the poet from which the source material originated. The foundation having been laid for how chiasmus works most effectively we will explore the texts wherein this phenomenon is found by means of prosification.

Prosification of the *Cantar de Mio Cid* in the *Crónica de veinte reyes*

The most faithful prosification of the story of the Cid is found in the *Crónica de veinte reyes* (CVR) Rodrigo Diaz de Bivar, or Mío Cid, is the central character in this story. He also features in many medieval and renaissance romances but the principle account of his deeds remains the largest, intact epic poem of medieval Spain. The CVR is a lesser-known, less comprehensive historical work produced at the court of Alfonso X in Toledo in the 14th Century (González-Casanovas 1990). Not only is it the most faithful prosification, it contains the most detailed account of the Cid's life of all the chronicles up to that point. The remarkable trait of this poem relevant to our discussion here is just how closely this historical prose work parallels the epic poem, the *Cantar de Mio Cid* (CMC). Treated by a handful of scholars over the years, among which figures Ramón Menéndez Pidal, Dyer explains best the exact nature of the relationship between the CVR and the CMC in *El Mío Cid del taller alfonsí: versión en prosa en la Primera Crónica General y en la Crónica de veinte reyes*. Others who have also contributed to our understanding of the relationship between the content of the two texts are Brian Powell in *Epic and Chronicle: The 'Cantar de mio Cid' and the 'Crónica de veinte reyes'* and D.G. Pattison in *From Legend to Chronicle: The Treatment of Epic Material in Alphonsine Historiography*.⁶ Matthew Bailey stated in a review of Dyer's work, "the editor has provided a tool of immeasurable utility in future critical commentary on the methodology of the anonymous authors of these prose renditions, their common sources and the reconstruction of the poem" (314). In another review of Dyer's work, Joseph Ricapito states, "it will remain the definitive work on the

⁴ See Martin 2004.

⁵ Gustavo Adolfo Bécquer, Rima XXXVIII

⁶ See Pattison pp. 119-20.

prosification of *Mío Cid* for many years to come. All medievalists owe Dyer a debt of gratitude” (494). It is under this premise that this present study undertook to examine the chiasmic points of intersection between the texts.

In doing this research, it became clear that chiasmic prosification did not occur to the same extent between the CMC and all of the manuscripts of the CVR. The manuscript that stood out in this regard was the Escorial Y.i.12 manuscript. This manuscript was originally included in the ADMYTE program produced by the Hispanic Seminary of Medieval Studies. Dyer refers to this as manuscript N (1995:19). She mentions further certain variations in ms. N that are not found in ms. J – the focal point of the majority of her analysis in her dissertation (31). Dyer also mentions the fact that ms. N' is a copy of ms. N, however as to whether ms. N' exhibits the same prosifying characteristics as ms. N has yet to be determined.

Chiasmic Prosifying

Chiasmus is at work in the CMC all by itself as a poetic figure. Examples of chiasmus are lines 2402-03: “Los de mio Çid a los de Búcar de las tiendas los sacan./ sácanlos de las tiendas, cáenlos en alcáz”. Seen in a more descriptive visualization, the inversion appears clearly:

1.	A	de las tiendas	
	B		los
	C		sacan
	C'		sacan
	B'		los
	A'	de las tiendas (CMC 2402)	

It can only be speculated that the purpose of such figures within the poem was to employ a rhetorical technique. While numerous additional examples of chiasmus abound, the focus of this article is different. This article focuses on the chiasmus that took place in the prosification process as the CMC was incorporated into the CVR as words and phrases were inverted for no apparent reason.

Before examining the examples of chiasmic prosification, we need to look at the examples of parallelism between the two texts. It is striking to note how well ms. N of the CVR matches up against the only surviving copy of the CMC. The similarity between the two is unique among texts from the Middle Ages. Due to the paucity of direct evidence of one text directly copying another in earlier Spanish literature, one should not take for granted the picture of scribal practice this affords. It is acceptable that there would be multiple manuscripts of the same text and even more so that there would be a great deal of variance between them, but upon learning of the epic account existing in a chronicle, pessimism sets in about what commonalities might

exist between them. When such parallelism appears not only between texts of what we believe to be different centuries as well as different genres, the match is fascinating. Take for example, the following quote from the third *Cantar* of the CMC where the poetic text follows along underneath the prose text:

2. “El Rey don alfonso levantose estonçes & dixo yo desde que fuy Rey nunca fiz mas de dos cortes
Essora se levó en pie el buen rey don Alfonso...Yo, de que fu rey, non fiz más de dos cortes,

la una en burgos la otra en carrion & esta es la terçera que agora fago aqui en toledo
la una fue en Burgos e la otra en Carrión, esta terçera a Toledo la vin fer oy

por amor del çid que le fagan derecho los jnfantes de carrion del a querella que dellos ha”.
por el amor de mio Çid..., que reçiba derecho de ifantes de Carrión.(CVR 159v & CMC 3127-33)⁷

This passage illustrates the unique parallels between the two texts. While it is obviously not an exact copy, this kind of side-by-side comparison is rare in prosified epics that have been included in chronicles. The type of analysis this affords is invaluable in understanding how the source material was treated and what role the scribe played in this process. In many passages of the CVR, the portions of text taken from the epic source simply reword the poetry in ways that show that the scribe is aware of the source material and yet it preserves the same order of key elements in the story line. In example 3 that follows, the three characters (all proper nouns): the Infantes, the Cid and the Cid’s swords flow in direct unison with each other. In the prosified version, the epithet, “al que en ora buena naçió” is simply replaced with “çid” but they are still in the same order. The only other alteration between the texts besides the omission of certain words from the CMC is the switch to the past tense of the verb “besar” → “besaron” replacing the historical present or periphrastic future “ban besar”.

3. Luego se levantaron los ifantes de Carrión,
Los jnfantes de carrion

ban besar las manos al que en ora buena naçió,
besaron estonçes las manos al çid

camearon las espadas ant'el rey don Alfonso (CMC 2091-93)
& *camjaron conel las espadas* (CVR 155r)

While comparing the two accounts of the Cid storyline, one notices more parallel structures like the phrases above and more inverted or criss-crossed passages. Powell became aware of the same passages with inverted word order but

⁷ This quote as with all subsequent quotes used as examples in this article appear out of context merely to illustrate the role of chiasmic prosification. The relationship between the inversions and the context of the storyline will be explained later.

dismissed them as arbitrary inversions incumbent upon those involved in the process of prosification. His recorded reaction was that they were merely rewriting the poetry as prose. To him, it seems only natural to take apart the poetic text and then render it in prose in a fashion that seemed to invert the original word order.⁸ The inversions appear random and inconsistent in their occurrences. In some passages the intertextual inversions are even clustered together. Further study and careful examination reveal that the inversion, however, is not random; rather, the placement of chiasmic prosification was a deliberate effort perhaps to call attention to and focus on the source text. Whether the scribes were more careful about where they inverted certain passages or whether they were directed by those in charge of the prosification to reword those sections, the fact still remains that there is no randomness at all in where these inversions occur.

Powell wrote that the inversion was not in the CVR, but in the poem. Powell's "natural" word order would be the prose of the chronicle. Brownlee comments on the prosification process, "Prose, quite simply, more closely approximates the verbal structures of spontaneous oral discourse. Hence it is more faithful (and thus readily believable) than the artificial (less truthful) form of poetry" (400). Powell, similarly, considers the poetic text inverted or abnormal. He assumes that the poet responsible for coming up with accurate verse length and meter, as well as assonantal rhyme inverted what would be the "natural" Castilian word order of the time. The chronicle has the language right, but if we agree upon an accepted date of composition for the CMC (i.e. 1207) the poem predates the chronicle and therefore puts the prosifiers/chroniclers in the position of correcting language at least decades if not a century before their own and attempting to represent it accurately in prose for the readers of their historical text. Neither the poet/ *juglar* nor the chronicler had the intention of accurately representing the spoken language in Spain at the time, nevertheless, in the absence of a codified Castilian grammar, one cannot deny the fact that spoken Spanish had to be largely influential in the way word order was represented in prose.

⁸ Powell comments on the inverted word order saying, "First it must be noted that there are inversions of word order present in the chronicle and in the poem which were normal and acceptable in prose composition at this early stage of its development, but which are not normal in modern Castilian." His main concern seems to be the inverted word order of the poem and not the patterning of "correction" in the chronicle (74-6). See also note 12 to Chapter 4 and *Reliquias* by Menendez Pidal (1951) pp. LII-LIII & LXVI-LXVII.

As mentioned above, several passages in the CVR directly parallel the CMC and others keep the word order essentially the same, but then the CVR rearranges the text. Examples of this rewording are provided by the following examples:

4. "un moro latinado" (CMC 2667)
"un moro que era latinado" (CVR 158r)
5. "En un monte espesso" (CMC 2769)
"enel monte que era mucho espeso" (CVR 158r)

It is remarkable, that in texts that have come through the ages by such diverse paths that the words can align so precisely. Paul Zumthor (1990) expands our understanding of the nature of the oral tradition from which epics stem, thereby placing this snapshot in its proper context. Similar parallels between other epics and their prosified versions in chronicle are extremely rare. Referring again to the quote by Welch, we need to pay particular attention to the times when we encounter congruity and consistency in medieval texts and examine them closely. Dyer (1995) gives considerable attention to the parallelism of the various manuscripts of the CVR to the CMC, focusing in on places where the two texts veritably converge verse for verse. Without this close attention to detail, the subtle details of prosification would be lost.

The congruencies we find in the CMC prosification center around single phrases. Many passages of prosification transfer noun for noun and verb for verb in the same order. Some cases even involve certain clitics. The following examples demonstrate just where this inversion illustrates striking similarities between the texts.

6. e entrando a Burgos oviéronla siniestra (CMC 12)
& a entrante de Burgos ovo la Siniestra (CVR1 14r)
7. çiento cavalleros devemos vençer aquellas mesnadas. (CMC 995)
que çient cavalleros de nos que vençeran a todos ellos (CVR 121r)
8. pensemos de ir nuestra vía, esto sea de vagar. (CMC 380)
& fuesse su via & anduvo toda esa noche. (CVR 114v)

The following example shows a portion of the text where the first line parallels the poetic text yet in the second half the verb and complement are inverted with the prepositional phrase:

9. o me dexaredes de lo vuestro o de lo mio levaredes algo. (CMC 1072-73)
o me dexaredes de lo vuestro o levaredes algo de lo mjo (CVR 122r)

In addition to the syntactical similarities, the lexical similarities deserve attention. Both texts are consistent in their use of lexicon. Despite whatever other changes exist between the texts, the CMC tends to use the same terms to point to their corresponding referents as in the CVR. In cases where the CVR uses a different word, it repeats the word it had chosen for the prosification and remains consistent in its departure. For example, in the Infantes original show of cowardice in the CMC the verb used to describe the point at which the lion got loose or was untied, the text reads “desató” (2282). In this same scene in the CVR, the text uses, “solto”(156v). Then again, in the recounting of the incident in the courts, the CMC uses “desató” (3331) and the CVR uses “solto” (106v).

Despite all of these examples of parallelism and consistency, the special examples of chiasmic prosification are of primary concern. These are the passages where the words and concepts of the CVR line up with the epic poem, but they are not in the same order. There is a careful, systematic and predictable inversion.

The primary concerns surrounding these inversions include:

- a. Exactly what is happening (i.e. what words are being inverted?)
- b. Why is it happening?
- c. How many times does this happen in this manuscript of the CVR?
- d. Is this a common scribal “correction” or “prosification”?
- e. What light does this shed on scribal practices in terms of what they change?
- f. What did the scribes know that we don’t? (i.e. Did they have access to a text that does not exist now?)⁹
- g. Does it reveal anything about where they had their script? Was this coming from an oral or textual source?

These questions will be addressed in light of what is known about chiasmus and the relationship between the CMC and the CVR. The term “chiasmus” best describes this phenomenon in the CVR where parts of the epic text are prosified and inverted because it is done in a textbook AB:B’A’

⁹ Even Powell says of the *Historia Roderici*, “It does seem that there were more stories than those reflected in the CMC, but that much of the background structure of relationships surrounding the poem was already being created.” (15). Dyer concurs on this point that the text used by the prosifiers of the poem is most likely not the same version that exists in common circulation today. If this is the case, then we need to come to terms with the striking resemblance between the two texts and account for their convergence.

pattern. All the elements of repetition, symmetry and centrality are present. If this is simply an inversion of word order, one would think that it would not be done with meticulous attention to the source. The following lines represent an almost perfect chiasmus:

10. CMC (2763) Alabándo s' ivan los ifantes de Carrión
prosification → CVR (158r) los jnfantes ca Yvan sse alabando

The following format used for illustrating chiasmic structures, while helpful here, will not be used for every example of chiasmus in this article:

10a. A Alabándo
 B s'
 C ivan
 D los ifantes de Carrión (CMC 2763)
 D' los jnfantes ca
 C' Yvan
 B' sse
 A' alabando (CVR 158r)

The chiasmus at work between the texts does not always restrict itself to specific words or word order. In many cases, the concepts being discussed were inverted. For example, before the Cid’s destierro in the first Cantar of the CMC, the Cid talks to the Abbot first and makes arrangements for the safekeeping of his family and *then* he meets his wife and daughters (ll. 248-65). In the CVR, the Cid meets his wife and daughters first, they kiss his hands, and *then* he makes the arrangements with the Abbot (114v).

With regard to “natural” Castilian word order in the 14th Century, one would expect to find the same word order associated with “natural” word order in the 21st Century. The word order most often discussed in relation to the prose used in the CVR is Subject-Verb-Object (SVO). Examination of the actual text shows that sometimes the word order is SVO in the prose and sometimes it’s SOV. Examination of the Per Abbat manuscript of the CMC shows that both word orders are manifest in the poetry as well. Sometimes it is the case that the order avoided in one place of the poem appears in another place in the CVR. For example:

11. Quando llego (CMC 2845/ CVR 158v)
 12. Quando lo oyo (CMC 2815/ CVR 158v)

Examples that contradict this argument are found in l. 3393 of the poem where SVO word order shows, “afé dos cavalleros entraron por la cort” and the “quote” in the CVR reads “Ellos enesto fablando entraron por el palacio dos cavalleros” (CVR 161r) or VOS. Other examples include:

13. en la ondra que él ha nós seremos abiltados (CMC 1862)
E pues que ael tanto bien viene nos avjltados somos (CVR 154v)
14. Quando él lo oyó, pesól' de coraçón (CMC 2815)
El quando lo oyo pesole mucho de coraçón (CVR 158v)

The reason for pointing this out is that sometimes the inversion does not focus on syntax, nor grammatical inversions, but simply on names of men or names of places or even general nouns as in the following example:

15. “miran Valençia, cómmo jaze la çibdad, e del otra parte a ojo han el mar, miran la huerta, espessa es e grand” (CMC 1613-15)
“& alas fijas toda la cibdad & la huerta & la mar” (CVR 153v)

The chronicle parallels the poem at this point, leaving no room for misunderstanding that the two texts are in conjunction, but the detail of the exact reference to the sea and the orchard/garden is absent in the CVR.

Now, if it is that simple to prosify a text, why is the chiasmic prosification not done in every instance where there is an “unnatural” word order? The answer could be that sometimes with chiasmic prosification, the inversion of word order to reflect “natural” prose had very little to do with why things were being changed. Rather, there seems to be an uncanny obsession with inverting anything from the poem. Even with lines as trite as *el Cid dixo* or *dixo el Cid* (i.e. CMC 3473) the inversion is present, but the motive is not. Numerous other instances appear where the CMC and the CVR both read *el Cid dixo* and *dixo el Cid* or the equivalent giving no logical reason for the chiasmus.

With regard to consistency in the way the CVR treats the epic material, it is predictable as long as there is an example of chiasmus and how it will play out, but the predictability of the instances where chiasmus will show up is capricious. For example, near at the beginning of the poem as the Cid leaves Bivar, the crow is described as flying on the right and then as they enter Burgos it is on the left. As can be seen by the following examples, the poem provides a beautiful parallelism that is not lost in the prosification:

16. a la exida de Bivar ovieron la corneja diestra e entrando a Burgos oviéronla siniestra (CMC 11-12)

Otro dia sallio el çid de bivar... & dizen que cato por agüero & que tomo corneja diestra & a entrante de burgos ovo la Siniestra. (CVR 114r)

We appreciate the preservation of this structure all the more when compared with this same left/right play further into the poem as the Infantes and the Cid’s daughters enter into the Robledo de Corpes. Here, the CMC and the CVR are both internally parallel, but there is an inversion between the texts as the poem is prosified (even though Atiença is still on the left and San Esteban is on the right):

17. a ssiniestro dexan Atiença... a diestro dexan a Sant Estevan. (CMC 2691 & 2696)
dexaron a atiença a su ssinjestro & a sand estevan a su diestro (CVR 158r)

This is just one more example of a word order change that does not necessarily correct the unnatural word order of poetic language.

Formulaic Issues

Part of the routing of epic language in the prosification included the elimination of formulaic language. Nevertheless, several formulas survived the process. At times, some formulas were actually created and became stock chronicle phrases (i.e. “Otro día llego...”). The CVR seems to absorb some formulas without incident as in the phrases “ivan a posar” or “iban a albergar”. Sometimes formulas in the prosification are used repeatedly, for example:

18. Los infantes de carrion quando vieron (CVR 154v)
19. El çid quando esto oyo (CVR 160r)
20. pesole mucho de coraçón (CVR 2825)¹⁰

Epithets, as such, did not seem to survive the prosification and in most cases were replaced by the proper noun of the person being referred to (Powell 82). With regard to chiasmus, formulaic structures were untouched. Formulas were treated as a single lexical item. (e.g. there was no inversion from “otro día llegó” to “llegó otro día”). If there were inversions involving formulas, the formula itself was switched with the accompanying verb or noun phrase as in the following examples:

21. Violo mio Cid Ruy Díaz el castellano (CMC 748)
El çid quando lo vio fuele a correr (CVR 117v)

¹⁰ Formulas identified by deChasca (1968).

Where/ How Many?

The number of inversions catalogued in this study exceeds 200. For the most part, the text where an inversion takes place between the poetry and the prose is limited to one line of poetry from the CMC; it rarely extends beyond the same line and in even fewer cases does it skip lines. In those few exceptions, the inversions are not so much lexical or grammatical as conceptual and thematic as in:

22. -¿Ó eres, **Muño Gustioz**, mio vassallo de pro?,
 en buen ora te crié a ti en la mi cort.
 Lieves el mandado a Castiella al rey Alfonso,
 por mí bésale la mano d'alma e de corazón,
 cuemo yo só su vassallo e él es mio señor,
 d'esta desondra que me an fecha los **ifantes de Carrión**"
 (CMC 2901-06)

"dela desonrra que le fizieran los **Jnfantes de carrion**.
 E enbio ael a **muño gustios**" (CVR 159r)

When exploring to find exactly how much of this type of chiasitic inversion exists -- on a large scale as it were -- it appears there may be no other examples. The argument could be made that extended chiasitic inversions do not exist because in the event where we can actually see a parallel relationship between an epic poem and a chronicle, it is only minor word order changes and simple syntax that are affected and not the composition of the work. This seemingly minor detail illuminates the degree to which the work of the medieval scribe copied the text with only bits of text at a time rather than writing the text from memory or paraphrasing lengthy passages.¹¹

Cluster Theory

While all these instances provide significant insight into the phenomena of intertextual inversion, the fact that it does not occur at all possible points of contact is worth analyzing. Looking deeper into the patterns created, there seems to be a clustering of chiasitic prosification. For example, in some chapters of the CVR, where there is a statistically significant amount of common text from the epic source there are few

examples of intertextual inversion.¹² Then again in other chapters, the inversions tumble over each other in every single line.¹³ In an effort to see how this relates to all aspects of the epic source we are familiar with, I separated the occurrences per 100 lines of the CMC. While revealing the same sort of variation from section to section, there were no overarching themes. In looking at the poem in terms of inversions per Cantar, concrete evidence demonstrates that the amount of material that suffered inversion doubles in the final third of the poem included in the CVR.

The final method of analysis that I used to discern the larger pattern of chiasitic prosification (and therefore to find the clustering) focused on the episodes from the CMC that were included in the CVR. The CVR distinguishes itself by being the only chronicle up to this point that makes mention of certain personal accounts of the Cid's life. Episodes such as the taming of the lion before the Infantes, the marriage of the Infantes to the Cid's daughters, the Afrontment in the Robledo de Corpes and the Court scene where the Cid demands restitution of the Infantes are all unique to the CVR. These scenes are also unique to the only manuscript of the CMC in existence today. For many years the contradictions between the many romances about the Cid as well as the *Historia Roderici*, the *Carmen Campidoctoris*, the *Mocedades de Rodrigo* and other documents when compared with the CMC have called into question whether or not these events actually took place. The fact that both the CMC and ms. N of the CVR include these events increases the likelihood that they either had a common source or the Per Abbat manuscript is the source for the information included in ms. N of the CVR. All of this has to mesh with the theory that the Per Abbat manuscript of the CMC was not the only copy/ version in existence and definitely not the only copy/ version available to those in the Alfonsine school of translation.

Looking at the poem and its prosification in this light reveals clusters around the above mentioned events. The episode of the taming of the lion, mostly contained in chapter 75 of the CVR contains thirteen examples of intertextual inversion. (By comparison, the preceding chapter contains none and the chapter following contains only eight.) Within only 25 lines of chapter 75, there is a cluster of ten instances of chiasitic prosification. Other significant peaks mentioned above are found in the latter part of chapter 77 where the poem recounts the Infantes' half-baked plot to kill Abengalbón (five instances in 70 lines of prosified text) and then again in chapter 78 in the Robledo de Corpes where there are ten instances in 100 lines. Another cluster centers around Muño Gustioz' visit to King Alfonso in chapter 79 (eight instances in 90 lines) and then in chapter 80 (eight instances in 100

¹² Chapters 9, 14, 15, 16, 61, 62, 67, 68, 69, 70 and 71 of the CVR all have fewer than three examples of intertextual inversion per chapter.

¹³ Chapters 13, 72, 75, 78, 81 and 82 of the CVR all have ten or more examples of intertextual inversion per chapter.

¹¹ See González-Casanovas (1990) "By quoting and imitating epic poets, the chronicler has gone from a synopsis to the deed itself" (174).

lines) wherein the account appears of the Cid's arrival at the court in Toledo. Chapter 81 is the most concentrated section of chiasmic prosification in the text with 26 instances in less than 200 lines. It is in this chapter where the Cid faces the King and demands retribution for damages done to his daughters.

These findings show a conscious choice as to what the scribes or chroniclers could focus on and what they could leave alone. This concentration on key points in the plot of the third Cantar of the epic show that the inversion was not merely a byproduct of prosification, but a deliberate attempt to draw attention to certain details of the story. Even within these accounts are pockets of chiasmic prosification. While bland phrases such as, "dixo mio çid" are inverted, the fact that *within* these accounts the key phrases such as lines 3714 from the CMC "mis fijas vengadas son" (mjs fijas son oy assy vengadas CVR 162r) or 2748 CMC "por muertas las dexaron" (las dexaron por muertas CVR 158r) from the poem are inverted is significant.

There are many benefits to studying the two documents to find out why certain pieces of information were left out, or what was left in, what was changed, and what was not. Both the neglect and the alteration can bring attention to a passage. In this case, the chiasmic prosification marked a deliberate "χ" on the spot of intersection between the two texts: the scribes. More than mere scribes, the students of the school of Alphonsine prosification were full-fledged scholars, with knowledge of their craft. The chiasmic prosification seems to be a clandestine inference injected into their work to call attention to the authority of its modification.

CONCLUSION(S)

These inversions imply a deliberate method to the madness. There is a purpose in this disproportion of chiasmic prosification. Perhaps the inversions were simple mnemonic techniques on the scribes' part as they cast their eyes from one text to the next, inventing a sort of memory game to insure consistency and accuracy in copying. Perhaps there was no text at all, but the copyist was forced to recall from memory the oral epic poem and recite it to himself as his hand lagged behind in trying to write it all down in prose. The fact that the text probably was there finds support in passages such as line 3310 copied from the poem where smaller details are preserved. In the poem, Pedro Vermudez comments that the Cid never calls him anything but "Pero Mudo".

23. siempre en las cortes Pero mudo me llamades (CMC 3310)
nunca me llamades en las cortes ssi non pero mudo (CVR 160v)

This important detail shows that for some reason, the scribe latched on to a concept that deserved not only inclusion, but proper prosification.

The implications of prose patterning give support to the "intelligent scribe" theory. They raise the bar for the simple copyist who sat at his wooden table, laboring by candlelight to do more than just copy the manuscript. Even the following lines of prosified text would not have been so important if it weren't for the already established pattern of altering the words:

24. "Los de mio Çid a los de Búcar de las tiendas los sacan" (CMC 2402)
"saco los moros del canpo & levolos fasta las tiendas (CVR 157r)

The inversion here changes the meaning of what happened. No longer are the Moors being taken *from* their tents, instead they are being taken *towards* their tents. Line 2403 of the CMC follows up with the same phrase chiasmically inverted and the CVR text inverts the same line back upon itself.

25. "sácanlos de las tiendas, cáenlos en alcaz" (CMC 2403)
"E tanto los cuyto que los saco de las tiendas" (CVR 157r)

Another approach may lead us to believe that this chiasmic inversion is a sign of quotation. In ancient texts, it was often believed that the chiasmus was woven into the fabric of the text as a means of calling attention to the center. The center of an intertextual inversion is the scribe. If, as Dagenais suggests, "The scribe is the hinge on which the rest of the system of medieval literature swings" in the sense that "they are viewed as inept defilers of the sacred authorial text" then it is all the more important to pay attention to patterns of scribal interruption (113).¹⁴ The very act of copying points to a deliberate use of a certain text, thereby forgoing the need for citation in the chronicle and lending, by an agreed upon code with respect to the proper epic source. Again, quoting Freedman, "Since the cross over effect is not required in any language, it is an optional and often

¹⁴ Dagenais writes further, "...we must refocus medieval literary scholarship on what scribes did and on the specific manuscripts they produced in doing it. We will also want to understand how what scribes did produced or destroyed meaning, how it engaged medieval readers. First, however, we must simply try to come to grips with how medieval textuality and 'scriptuality' functioned in itself, in its time, and to begin to explore the ways in which this understanding might change how we wish to talk about 'medieval literature.'" (114)

deliberate practice which serves one or several different purposes” (7). Chiasmus begins in levels of symmetrical and parallel repetition in an established and numbered order. It helps the reader or hearer focus on the central element of the message and carries with it references to the poet creator of the chiasmus. It is not only present in the production, but it also lingers in the mind of those accustomed to processing chiasmic messages. Some readers or hearers chiasmatically invert information as they process it through a type of chiasmic filter for all input (Norman 4)¹⁵

The rhetorical figure of chiasmus, consisting of presenting members of a sequence in an inverse order, is replete throughout literature (e.g. “Cuando quiero llorar no lloro y a veces lloro sin querer”. - Ruben Darío). It does not close the door on creativity, rather as Ong suggests, “originality consists not in the introduction of new materials but in fitting the traditional materials effectively into each individual, unique situation and/or audience” (Ong 1982:60).

There is no doubt that chiasmus serves a mnemonic function as well.¹⁶ Juglares memorizing thousands upon thousands of lines of poetry employed techniques that enabled them to retain vast amounts of information. The singers with whom Alfred Lord spoke in Yugoslavia were at times not even aware of how they were able to remember so much information. To them it was so much a part of the system in which they lived and worked that they were unconscious of the techniques they had absorbed. Art truly does seem to reflect life in this sort of reality where deliberate chiasmic symmetry between texts of disparate genres appeals to hearer, reader and scribe.

¹⁵ “I [am] concerned with chiasmus as a pattern of thought, an organising schema, a structure that determines, from behind the scenes... To use the vocabulary of classical rhetoric, I [am] concerned with chiasmic reversals not as a feature of *elocutio*, the part of rhetoric that studies the choice and arrangement of words (where chiasmus normally belongs), but as a feature of *dipositio*, the arrangement of the parts of an argument, and above all *inventio*, the invention of subject matter and the logical arguments that give form to it.” Wiseman.

¹⁶ “You know what you can recall” (Ong 33).

Works Cited

- Admyte: Archivo Digital de manuscritos y textos españoles*. Ed. Francisco Marcos Marín et al. 2 CD-ROM. Madrid: Micronet; Ministerio de Cultura; Fundación Quinto Centenario, 1992-94.
- Ahern, John. “Reading Dante”. *American Poetry Review* 9.3 (1980): 12-17.
- Alonso, Dámaso. “Estilo y Creación en el poema del Cid” *Ensayos sobre poesía española*. Buenos Aires, 1944. 69-111.
- Babbitt, Theodore. “12th Century Epic Forms in 14th Century Chronicles”. *The Romanic Review* 26 (1935): 128-36.
- . *La crónica de veinte reyes: A Comparison Text with the text of the Primera crónica general and a Study of the Principal Latin Sources*. New Haven: Yale UP, 1936.
- Bailey, Matthew. Review of El “Mio Cid” del taller alfonsí: versión en prosa en la *Primera crónica general* y en la *Crónica de veinte reyes*. *La corónica* 26.1 (1997): 314-17.
- Brownlee, Marina Scordilis. “The Palimpsest and Leomarte’s Metacritical Forgery”. *Modern Language Notes* 100 (1985): 397-405.
- Caspi, Michael M., ed. *Oral Tradition and Hispanic Literature: Essays in Honor of Samuel G. Armistead*. New York: Garland Publishing, Inc. 1995.
- Dagenais, John. *Ethics of Reading in Manuscript Culture: Glossing the Libro de Buen Amor*. Ewing, NJ, USA: Princeton UP, 1994.
- De Chasca, Edmund. *El arte juglaresco en el Cantar de Mio Cid*. Madrid: Gredos, 1967.
- . *Registro de fórmulas verbales en el Cantar de Mio Cid*. Iowa City: U of Iowa P, 1968.
- Dyer, Nancy Jo. *El poema de Mio Cid in the Crónica de veinte reyes prosification, a Critical Edition and Study*. Dissertation. Uof Pennsylvania, 1975.
- . “Crónica de veinte reyes’ Use of the Cid Epic: Perspectives, Method, and Rationale”. *Romance Philology* 33.4 (1980): 524-44.
- . *El Mio Cid del taller alfonsí: versión en prosa en la Primera Crónica General y en la Crónica de veinte reyes*. Newark, DE: Juan de la Cuesta, 1995.
- Dyermond, Alan D. *Historia de la literatura española: La Edad Media*. Barcelona: Editorial Ariel S.A., 1991.
- Espósito, Anthony P. “Counts and Beards: The Duality of Signification in the Poema de Mio Cid”. Vaquero, Mercedes, ed. *Studies on Medieval Spanish Literature in Honor of Charles F. Fraker*. Madison: Hispanic Seminar of Medieval Studies, 1995. 119-28.
- . “Restoring the Libro(s) de Alexandre.” *Hispanic Review* 62.3 (1994): 340-60.
- . “Comed, comde: Lexical Patterning as a Thematic Reinforcement in the Poema de Mio Cid”. *La Corónica* 15 (1986-87): 46-51.

- González-Casanovas, Roberto J. "The Function of the Epic in Alfonso X's *Estoria de Espanna: Cantares de gesta* as Authority and Example for the Chronicler". *Olifant* 15.2 (1990): 157-78.
- Grothe, Mardy. *Never Let a Fool Kiss You or a Kiss Fool You: Chiasmus and a World of Quotations That Say What They Mean and Mean What They Say*. New York: Penguin, 1999.
- Kennedy, X. J., Dana Gioia and Mark Bauerlein. *The Longman Dictionary of Literary Terms: Vocabulary for the Informed Reader*. New York: Pearson Longman, 2006.
- Lanham, Richard A. *A Handlist of Rhetorical Terms*. Berkeley: U of California P, 1991.
- Leonard, William E. *La métrica del Cid*. Madrid: Topografía de Archivos, Olózaga, I, 1931.
- Lord, Albert Bates. *The Singer of Tales*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard UP, 1964.
- . *The Singer Resumes the Tale*. Mary Louise Lord, ed. Ithaca: Cornell UP, 1995.
- Martin, Gary. "Ring Composition and Related Phenomena in Herodotus". Unpublished. (www.aol.org/papers/RingCompositionHerodotus.pdf) (2004) August 3, 2006.
- Menéndez Pidal, Ramón. *Reliquias de la poesía épica española*. Madrid: Espasa-Calpe, 1951.
- . ed. *Cantar de Mio Cid*. In *Obras Completas de R. Menéndez Pidal*. Vol 3: Edición paleográfica, Edición crítica. Madrid: Espasa-Calpe, 1969.
- Miller, Warren. "Change and Oral Tradition in Cowboy Poetry" in Stanley, David and Elaine Thatcher. *Cowboy Poets and Cowboy Poetry*. Urbana: U of Illinois P, 2000. 73-87.
- Montaner, Alberto. ed. *Cantar de Mio Cid*. Barcelona: Galaxia Gutenberg, 2007.
- Norrman, Ralf. *Samuel Butler and the meaning of Chiasmus*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1986.
- Nugent McArdle, Ellen C. *Tense and Mood in the Crónica de Veinte Reyes*. (Ms J) and the Poema de Mio Cid. Dissertation. Columbia University, 1984.
- Ong, Walter J. *Orality and Literacy: The Technologizing of the Word*. New Accents. Ed. Terence Hawkes. New York: Methuen, 1982.
- Parry, Milman. *L'Épithète traditionnelle dans Homère*. Paris, 1928.
- . "Studies in the Epic Technique of Oral Verse-Making. I: Homer and Homeric Style". *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology* 1930. 41.
- Pattison, David Graham. *From legend to chronicle: the treatment of epic material in Alphonsine historiography*. Oxford: Society for the Study of Mediæval Languages and Literature, 1983.
- Powell, Brian. *Epic and Chronicle: The 'Poema de mio Cid' and the 'Crónica de veinte reyes'*. London: The Modern Humanities Research Association, 1983.
- Ricapito, Joseph V. Review of El "Mio Cid" del taller alfonsí: versión en prosa en la *Primera crónica general* y en la *Crónica de veinte reyes*". *Hispania* 80 (1997): 493-494.
- Shipley, Joseph T. *Dictionary of World Literary Terms*. Boston: The Writer, Inc, 1970.
- Smith, Colin. "Epics and Chronicles: A Reply to Armistead". *Hispanic Review* 51 (1983): 409-28.
- , ed. *Cantar de mio Cid*. Madrid: Oxford UP, 1990.
- Strausser, Mary J. "Alliteration in the Poema de mio Cid". *Romance Notes* 11 (1969): 439-43.
- Vaquero, Mercedes. *Tradiciones Orales en la Historiografía de Fines de la Edad Media*. Madison: HSMS, 1990.
- Welch, John W. "Chiasmus in Ancient Greek and Latin Literatures". *Chiasmus in Antiquity*. John W. Welch, ed. Hildesheim: Gerstenberg, 1981. 250-68.
- Wiseman, Boris. "Claude Lévi-Strauss, Chiasmus and the Ethnographic Journey" *Arachnofiles*. Autumn 2001:2. (http://www.selc.ed.ac.uk/arachnofiles/pages/two_wiseman.htm) August 11, 2005.
- Zumthor, Paul. *Parler du Moyen Age*. Paris: Les Editions de Minuit, 1980.
- . *Oral poetry: an introduction*. Translation by Kathryn Murphy-Judy. Minneapolis: U of Minnesota P, 1990.