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1) Explanation/justification of topic’s importance or significance
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2) Introduction clearly states the organization of the paper or line of argumentation followed
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3) Literature review identifies major patterns or trends in the literature
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4) The path of the argument is made clear throughout the paper so that the hypotheses flow naturally from the literature review.
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5) Clear preview of the method (IV, DV, task) provided to lend context to the predictions (hypotheses).
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