TOC & Ch. 0 & Ch. 1 Axiom	-	Ch. 2 Neutral Geometry
---------------------------	---	------------------------

4.3 Duality in Projective Geometry

I think and think for months and years, ninety-nine times, the conclusion is false. The hundredth time I am right.

—<u>₩<u>Albert Einstein</u> (1879–1955)</u>

Remember that the dual of a statement was defined in the section on <u>axiomatic systems</u> in the first chapter.

In this section, we show that plane projective geometry satisfies the <u>principle of duality</u>. Remember that once we have proven a theorem, by the principal of duality, the dual of the theorem is also valid, i.e., one proof proves two statements.

Note that the first two axioms are nearly the duals of each other.

Axiom 1. Any two distinct points are incident with exactly one line.

Axiom 2. Any two distinct lines are incident with at least one point.

In the last section on <u>basic theorems</u>, the dual of Axiom 1 was stated and you worked out its <u>proof</u>.

Dual of Axiom 1. Any two distinct lines are incident with exactly one point.

Exercise 4.11. For Axiom 2, write the dual and its proof..

Note that neither of the first two axioms or their duals provide for the existence of any points or lines. However, $\underline{\text{Axiom 3}}$ and its dual state that at least four points and four lines exist.

Dual of Axiom 3. There exist at least four lines, no three of which are <u>concurrent</u>.

Proof. Let *A*, *B*, *C*, and *D* be four distinct points, no three collinear; the existence of these points is given by <u>Axiom 3</u>. Thus by <u>Axiom 1</u>, and since no three of the points are collinear, there are six distinct lines *AB*, *AC*, *AD*, *BC*, *BD*, and *CD*.

Consider the four lines *AB*, *BC*, *CD*, and *DA*. We assert that no three of these lines are concurrent. Suppose not, then three of the lines would be concurrent, say *AB*, *BC*, and *CD* are concurrent. By the Dual of Axiom 1, *B* is the only point of intersection of *AB* and *BC*. Hence *B* is the point of concurrency for the three lines *AB*, *BC*, and *CD*. Thus *B* is on *CD*, which contradicts the initial assumption that *B*, *C*, and *D* are noncollinear. The other cases follow from a similar argument.

Therefore, there exist at least four lines, no three of which are concurrent. //

Before examining the Dual of <u>Axiom 4</u>, we need to define a complete quadrilateral, which is the dual of a <u>complete quadrangle</u>. Also, note some of the differences between complete quadrangles and complete quadrilaterals as well as differences between complete quadrilaterals and Euclidean quadrilaterals.



Definition. A complete quadrilateral is a set of four lines, no three of which are concurrent, and the six points incident with each pair of these lines. The four lines are called *sides* and the six points are called *vertices* of the quadrilateral. Two vertices of a complete quadrilateral are *opposite* if the line incident to both points is not a side. A *diagonal line* of a complete quadrilateral is a line incident with opposite vertices of the quadrilateral.



Ch. 3 Transformational



and IJ. Click here to investigate a quadrilateral GeoGebra or JavaSketchpad.

Note that unlike a triangle, which is similar in definition to a Euclidean triangle, the quadrangle and quadrilateral do not have similar analogues in Euclidean geometry. Also, unlike in Euclidean geometry, the quadrangle and quadrilateral are different figures.

Dual of Axiom 4. The three diagonal lines of a complete quadrilateral are never concurrent.

Proof. Let *abcd* be a complete quadrilateral; the existence is given by the <u>Dual of Axiom 3</u>. Let $E = a \cdot b$, $F = b \cdot c$, $G = c \cdot d$, $H = a \cdot d$, $I = a \cdot c$ and $J = b \cdot d$, these points exist and are unique by the <u>Dual of Axiom 1</u>. By <u>Axiom 1</u> and the definition of <u>diagonal lines</u>, the diagonal lines *EG*, *FH*, and *IJ* exist.

We assert that the diagonal lines are not <u>concurrent</u>. Suppose the diagonal lines *EG*, *FH*, and *IJ* are concurrent. Since $EG \cdot FH$ would be the point of concurrency, the points *I*, *J*, and $EG \cdot FH$ are <u>collinear</u>. Since *abcd* is a complete quadrilateral, no three of the lines a = EH, b = EF, c = FG, and d = GH are concurrent. Thus, (by a proof that is the dual of the proof for the Dual of Axiom 3) E, F, G, and H are four points, no three of which are collinear. Hence, *EFGH* is a complete quadrangle with diagonal points $EF \cdot GH = b \cdot d = J$, $EG \cdot FH$, and $EH \cdot FG = a \cdot c = I$. Thus, by <u>Axiom 4</u>, the points *I*, *J*, and $EG \cdot FH$ are noncollinear, which contradicts that they are collinear. Therefore, the diagonal lines of the complete quadrilateral *abcd* are not concurrent. *//*

Click here for a dynamic illustration of Desargues' Theorem with either GeoGebra or JavaSketchpad.

Dual of Axiom 5. (Dual of Desargues' Theorem) **If two triangles are perspective from a** line, then they are perspective from a point.



Proof. Assume triangle *ABC* and triangle *A'B'C'* are perspective from a line with $P = AB \cdot A'B'$, $Q = BC \cdot B'C'$ and $R = AC \cdot A'C'$. By the definition of perspective from a line, the points *P*, *Q* and *R* are collinear. Let $O = AA' \cdot BB'$. To show that *AA'*, *BB'* and *CC'* are concurrent, we only need to show that *O* is on the line *CC'*.

Consider triangles *RAA'* and *QBB'*. Since *P*, *Q*, *R* are collinear, *P* is on line *QR*. Since $P = AB \cdot A'B'$, *P* is on line *AB* and line *A'B'*. Hence triangles *RAA'* and *QBB'* are perspective from point *P*, by the definition of perspective from a point. Thus by Axiom 5 (Desargues' Theorem), triangles *RAA'* and

QBB' are perspective from a line. By the definition of perspective from a line, the points $C = RA \cdot QB$, $C' = RA' \cdot QB'$ and $O = AA' \cdot BB'$ are collinear. Hence O is on line CC'. Thus AA', BB' and CC' are concurrent. Therefore, triangle ABC and triangle A'B'C' are perspective from point O. //

Definitions for perspectivity and projectivity for pencils of points and lines.

Dual of <u>Axiom 6</u>. If a projectivity on a pencil of lines leaves three distinct lines of the pencil invariant, it leaves every line of the pencil invariant.

Exercise 4.12.

- (a) How many cases are there in the proof of the Dual of Axiom 3?
- (b) State the other cases.
- (c) Prove at least one of the cases.

Exercise 4.13.

- (a) Prove the existence of a complete quadrilateral.
- (b) What are similarities and differences between complete quadrilaterals and complete quadrangles.

(c) What are similarities and differences between complete quadrilaterals and Euclidean quadrilaterals.

Exercise 4.14.

- (a) Prove that every point is incident with at least three distinct lines.
- (b) Prove that every point is incident with at least four distinct lines.

Exercise 4.15. Prove the Dual of Axiom 6.

4.2.3 Independence		Desargues' Theorem	m
Ch. 4 Proje	ctive TOC Table of	f Contents	
Timothy Peil	Mathematics Dept.	MSU Moorhead	
© Copyri	ght 2005, 2006 - <u>Time</u>	othy Peil	