## Common dichotomies in anthropology and sociology

## Source: Scale and Social Relations

Gerald D. Berreman

Current Anthropology, Volume 19, Issue 2 (Jun., 1978), 225-245.

CHARACTERISTICS ATTRIBUTED TO TYPE 1 AND TYPE 2 SOCIETIES

TYPE 1 SOCIETIES	TYPE 2 SOCIETIES
small population	large population
sparsely settled	densely settled
isolated	incorporated into vast networks
homogeneous	heterogeneous
simple	complex
equalitarian	stratified
inequality simply organized (kin and role ranking)	inequality complexly organized (class and ethnic ranking)
communalistic	individualistic
stable, slow-changing	fast-changing
self-sufficient	dependent upon other units
culture	subcultures, contracultures (Yinger 1960)
consensus-based conformity	power-based conformity
total society	part-societies
total visibility of persons	fragmented visibility of persons
total social knowledge	specialized, fragmented social knowledge
total accountability	situational accountability
traditional	modern
personal	impersonal or depersonalized
close social contacts	distant social contacts
primary relationships	secondary relationships
individual relations	mass or group relations
sacred	secular (cf. Barnes and Becker 1938)
little-traditional	great-traditional
"authentic"	"plastic"
family and kin	status and territory
nonliterate	literate
role integration	role segmentation
status summation	status fragmentation
generalized roles	specialized roles
uniform distribution of	uneven distribution of
social knowledge	social knowledge
power diffuse	power concentrated
social integration	social disorganization
	(cf. Bloch 1952)
personal integration	personal disorganization
cooperation	conflict
ntensive interaction	extensive interaction
mutual knowledge	anonymity
conformity	diversity
rigidity	mobility
structure	ambiguity
informal controls and	formal (bureaucratic) controls and
sanctions	sanctions

	Terms		
Source	Type 1 Societies (Simple, Small-Scale?)	TYPE 2 SOCIETIES (Complex, Large-Scale?)	
Redfield (1947)	folk	urban	
Wirth (1938)	folk society, rural-folk	urbanism, urban-industrial	
Tönnies (1940, 1957)	Gemeinschaft	Gesellschaft	
Maine (1861)	status	contract	
Durkheim (1933)	mechanical solidarity	organic solidarity	
Ourkheim (1954)	sacred	profane	
Durkheim (1951)	[normative integration]	anomie	
teward (1955)	band	complex society	
ervice (1971)	band, tribe, chiefdom	primitive state, industrial state	
Goldenweiser (1922)			
Kroeber (1948)			
Kluckhohn (1949)	primitive, precivilized	civilized, complex	
Toynbee (1947)			
Braidwood (1964)			
Childe (1950, 1965)	preurban (food gatherers, Neolithic food	urban (food producers, traders, manufacturers,	
. 1 (10(0)	producers)	ultimately industrialists)	
joberg (1960)	preindustrial	industrial	
apir (1949)	genuine culture	spurious culture	
Aarx (1964)	realization (humanization)	alienation (dehumanization, self-estrangement)	
Iannheim (1940)	substantial rationality	functional rationality	
Ienry (1963) Ierton (1968)	personal community	[impersonal community]	
alph Turner (1956)	reference and membership groups are congruent	reference and membership groups are disparate	
Riesman (1950)	tradition-directed	other-directed	
Parsons and Shils (1951)	expressive action	instrumental action	
arsons and Shiris (1951)	pattern variables:	histi unicitat action	
	affectivity	affective neutrality	
	collectivity-orientation	self-orientation	
	particularism	universalism	
	ascription (?)	achievement (?)	
	diffuseness	specificity	
4. Douglas (1970)	group	grid	
Vallace (1961)	replication of uniformity	articulation of diversity	
Faris (1932)	primary relationships	secondary relationships	
Barth (1960)	involute statuses	[disparate, fragmented, inconsistent statuses]	
Fried (1960, 1967)	egalitarian, ranked	stratified	
Garfinkel (1967)	indexical behavior	objective behavior	
Husserl (see Farber 1943)		,	
Schutz (1962)	biographical factors crucial in interaction	objective factors crucial in interaction	
Victor Turner (1969)	communitas (antistructure)	structure	

TABLE 1 Pairs of Analytical Terms Implying Differences in Scale